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## Introduction

+ Sponsor
+ General Capacitors LLC.
+ Lithium-Ion Battery
+ Electrochemical Functionality
+ Rechargeable
+ High Efficiency


Image 1: Illustration of how Lithium Ion Batteries function.

## Aim

## + To create a prototype machine that can uniformly coat stabilized lithium metal powder on an anode.



Image 2: Hard carbon electrode.


Image 3: Hard carbon electrode coated with SLMP.

## Motivation

+ Purpose of Coating
+ Used as a compensation of the irreversibility of the system
+ Benefits of Coating SLMP
+ Increases the batteries performance by a range of 5\% to 15\%
+ Increases the energy density by 2-4 times in supercapacitors.
+ Can be applied on pre-existing anode


## Background

+ Stabilized Lithium Metal Powder (SLMP)
+ Developed by FMC Lithium
+ Particle size: 30-60 Microns
+ Density: $0.534 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
+ Reactivity: Flammable to ambient humidity; sensitive to air and water
+ Dry room Condition:< 30 degree Celsius


## Background

## + Current Technology Available

+ FMC Lithium
+ Method: Slurry application in which SLMP is mixed into a slurry using a volatile solvent.
+ After application of the slurry, the solvent evaporates and leaves a well distributed coat of SLMP.
+ Cost: $\$ 2$ million dollars
+ Tokyo Electron Limited
+ Method: Form a thin lithium film on anode sheet by melting and spraying lithium-containing powder.
+ Using argon gas to melt.
+ Cost: $\$ 6$ million dollars


## Constraints

+ General Capacitors Budget: \$2,000
+ Coat total surface area of anode
+ Anode parameters:
+ 5-12 cm (width)
$+5-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ (length)
+ Uniform layer of SLMP
+ Thickness: $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
+ Tolerance: $\pm 20 \%$
+ Coat anode within 10 minutes
+ Test SLMP coating process in a dry room + AME dry room is $0.5 \%$ humidity


## Design Approach



## Selection of Concepts

+ Powder Dispersion Method
+ Dry
+ Application Method
+ Surface Application
+ Uniformity Mechanism
+ Meshes
+ Method of Vibration
+ DC Vibration Motors
+ Power Source
+ Power Supply


## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#1:
+ Utilized a funnel, a roller held in suspension by springs, and a punch.
+ Reasons for change:
+ Funnel would not keep SLMP flow constant
+ Roller would not produce the amount of pressure needed to activate lithium.



## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#2:
+ Anode is stationary, while funnel, roller, punch are moved by linear displacement.
+ Reason for Change:
+ Complex design which would exceed budgetary limits



## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#3:
+ Consists of a funnel, an incline plane, and a roller held in suspension.
+ Reasons for Change:
+ Simplistic design did not properly control flow rate
+ Roller did not supply the appropriate amount of pressure to activate lithium



## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#4:
+ Utilizes a rotary metering roller to collect equal amounts of SLMP from a storage trough and dump it on the anode as it rotates.
+ Reason for change:
+ Unsure if this design would improve uniformity
+ This metered roller complicates the machine
+ The press rollers are unnecessary since sponsor is providing a flat press.



## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#5:
+ Consists of a funnel with actuators positioned around the exit, 3 layers of meshes suspended below the outlet, a conveyor belt, emergency
 stopper, and a plastic tray.
+ Reason for change:
+ Funnel would drop SLMP much too quickly on to meshes
+ Meshes would have agglomeration in specific locations



## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#6
+ Utilizes funnel with 3 mesh layers positioned throughout length, incline place with adjustable arms, conveyor belt system, emergency stopper, and provided rolling press.
+ Reason for Change:
+ Could not ensure the proper degree of inclination to produce constant flow.



## Evolution of Concept

+ Design \#7
+ Selected as Final Design



## Final Design

+ Process is divided into 3 major parts
+ Powder dispersion
+ Powder coating
+ Powder pressing


Image 4: Photo of Completed Prototype Machine.

## Microprocessor

MCU - Arduino Mega 2560 R3
Microcontroller

- This MCU will be the "brains" of the operation
- Technical Specifications

Input Voltage: 7-12V
Digital I/O Pins: 54
PWM Digital I/O Pins: 15
Flash Memory: 256 Kb
Clock Speed: 16 MHz


Image 5: Arduino Mega 2560 R3

## Power Supply

120 VAC to $12 \mathrm{~V} / 5 \mathrm{~A}$ power supply (60W)
Output is a 2.1 mm DC plug with an inline ON/OFF switch

Splits into (2) 2.1 mm DC plugs
One powering Arduino One powering Motors

Table 1: Power Consumption

| Component | Nominal Voltage | Maximum Current | Power Consumed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Stepper Motor | 12 | 1.7 | 20.4 |
| (2) DC Motors | 12 | 0.07 | 1.68 |
| Cooling Fan | 12 | 0.02 | 0.24 |
| Arduino Mega | 12 | 0.05 | 0.6 |
| LCD Display | 5 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
|  |  | Total Power | 23.42 |



Image 6: 60 W Power Supply.


Image 7: 2-Way 2.1 mm DC Barrel Jack Splitter

## Final Design (LCD/ Keypad)

To begin the coating process, the user will first be prompted by the 16X2 character LCD display to "Enter Length: "

The user will then enter their desired coating length using the 3X4 numeric keypad.

When coating is finished the LCD will prompt the user to press any button, returning the conveyor belt to its original position.


Image 8: LCD "Enter Length"

Table 2: Keypad Options

| Key Pressed | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| $*$ | Re-enter Length |
| $\#$ | Select Length |
| Value $<5$ or $>25$ | Invalid Length: Re-enter Length |
| Value $>5$ and $<25$ | Begin Coating Process |

## Final Design (Funnel and Meshes)

+ Once the length have been entered the user will pour SLMP into the funnel
+ The SLMP will flow through the funnel until it comes in contact with a 104.14 microns open diameter mesh.
+ Mesh is placed across the funnel to limit flow.
+ 2 DC vibration motors will be used to vibrate the meshes and facilitate the flow of the SLMP producing a uniform particle distribution.


Image 9: Photo of Aluminum funnel fabricated.

## DC Vibrating Motors

DC Vibrating Motors
When the dispersion process begins (2)
DC vibrating motors will be turned on
to vibrate the funnel and meshes.

- Ensuring a steady flow by:

Attaching the DC motors to the outside of the funnel, thus
vibrating the entire funnel as a whole.

Table 3. Specifications of the motors utilized in prototype.

| Motor | Voltage | RPM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DC off-set mass <br> Vibration Motors | 12 | 4,000 RPM |

## Final Design (Conveyor/ Rollers)

- Directly beneath the outlet of the funnel, an anode will placed on the conveyor belt waiting to be coated.
- The Conveyor belt is 84 centimeters long belt made of recycled tire rubber and other
 natural rubbers.
- There are two rollers that will hold the
 conveyor belt in tension:
- One is the driver which is connected to the stepper motor by a 3D printed hexagonal adaptor.
- The other is free turning to allow for resistance free rotation.

Image 10. Technical drawing of the hexagonal adaptor.


Image 11. Photo of the roller used, purchase from Grainger Industrial Supplier.

## Final Design (Conveyor Motor)

A 12V, 1.68A, 416 oz-in bipolar stepper motor is used to drive the conveyor belt.

- There is a set distance from start to funnel and from funnel to end.
- While the anode is under the funnel the conveyor belt will go back and forward 5 times.
Steps for a given length is calculated accordingly:
- The rollers circumference is 15.16 cm
- The motor has 5373 steps per revolution.
- Circumference $=$ pi*d
- \# of Steps = (Steps/Revolution) / (Circumference/ Input)

A DRV8825 motor driver is used to control the stepper motor

Rated up to 2.2 A with a heatsink and cooling fan.
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Table 4: Length to Step Conversions.

| Input (mm) | \# of Steps |
| :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 1684 |
| 60 | 2021 |
| 70 | 2358 |
| 80 | 2695 |
| 90 | 3032 |
| 100 | 3368 |
| 110 | 3705 |
| 120 | 4042 |
| 130 | 4379 |
| 140 | 4716 |
| 150 | 5053 |
| 160 | 5390 |
| 170 | 5726 |
| 180 | 6063 |
| 190 | 6400 |
| 200 | 6737 |
| 210 | 7074 |
| 220 | 7411 |
| 230 | 7748 |
| 240 | 8084 |
| 250 | 8421 |

## Design of Experiment

+ The appropriate mesh sizes were selected using empirical calculations.
+ Mesh Count Calculations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P S & =\frac{1}{M C}+t w
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{MC}=\text { Mesh Count } \\
& \mathrm{tw}=\text { thickness of wire }
\end{aligned}
$$

Mesh count $\geq 16.67^{*}$
*Assuming Particle Size $=50$ micrometer
Wire thickness $\geq 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Initial Experimentation

+ Meshes
+ Hypothesis: Increase in number meshes would reduce agglomeration and increase flow rate
+ Initial testing method:
+ Meshes are used to sift the SLMP and disperse it onto the anode
+ Observations
+ Impede agglomeration of particles
+ Ensure a constant flow rate
Table 5. Specification of Meshes used in initial experiment.

| Mesh | Wire Thickness | Mesh Count | \% Open Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh \#1 | 60 micrometers | 250 | $36.0 \%$ |
| Mesh \# 2 | 73.66 micrometers | 200 | $33.6 \%$ |
| Mesh \# 3 | 104.14 micrometers | 150 | $37.9 \%$ |

## Initial Experimentation

+ Actuators: Brushless Pico-vibe
+ Hypothesis: Pico-vibe Actuators are positioned to cover more surface area, thus produce more vibration.
+ Specifications
+ 10 mm diameter
+ Operates at 3 V \& 65 mA
+ Normalized amplitude 1.4 G


## Initial Experimentation

+ Initial testing method:
Observation of simulation material flow .
Time duration of observation 1 minute.
+ Results:
Powder flow was observed to be negligible.
+ Implementation Based on Results:
Not Implemented in Final Design


## Design of Experiment

+ Conveyor Belt System
+ Purpose:
+ Must be able to move the anode without disturbance
+ Must move the anode to accurately to coat the anode 5 times.
+ Parameters of Testing:
+ Pre-coated anode will be utilized
+ Specified initial and final position
+ Program must be completely executed


## Initial Experimentation

## + Conveyor Belt System

+ Hypothesis: Conveyor belt will move the anode in a fluid and concise motion.

Table 6. Data collection from experiment \#1 of Conveyor Belt System

| Stepper Motor Tested | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trail } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Observed Status of Coating | Experimental Validation(Y/N/M) | Additional Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 V - 350mA-Stepper Motor- 28 oz-in | 1 | Dislocated | N | Conveyor belt continuously slipped <br> No lateral displacement |
|  | 2 | Dislocated |  |  |
|  | 3 | Dislocated |  |  |
| 12 V-0.68 A- Stepper Motor- 125 Oz -in | 1 | Dislocated | M | Produced displacement -unable to withstand loading torque |
|  | 2 | No change |  |  |
|  | 3 | Dislocated |  |  |
| 12 V-1.7 A, 416 oz-in Geared Bipolar Stepper Motor | 1 | No change | Y |  |
|  | 2 | No change |  |  |
|  | 3 | No change |  |  |
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## Final Experiment of Conveyor Belt System

- To confirm initial findings

Table7. Data collection from final experiment of conveyor belt system.

| Stepper Motor | Number of Trial | Number of Passes | Initial Weight | Final <br> Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $1-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 24.20 grams | 24.20 grams |
| 12 V-1.7 A, 416 oz-in <br> Geared Bipolar Stepper <br> Motor | 2 | $2-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 7.54 grams | 7.53 grams |
|  | 3 | $3-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 2.10 grams | 2.10 grams |

## Initial Experimentation: Data Analysis



Image 13. Graph depicting the data collection from experiment \#1 that determined the number of vibrational motors.


Image 14. Graph depicting the data collection from experiment \#1 that determined the optimum number meshes based on flow rate.

## Design of Experiment

+ Prototype
+ Purpose
+ To test if the prototype will coat within 10 minutes
+ To test for appropriate meshes
+ To determine greatest flow rate induced by various vibrators.
+ Parameters of Test:
+ Testing medium: Confectioner Sugar
+ Testing Meshes
+ Range: $1 \mathrm{cmx} 1 \mathrm{~cm}-73.6$ microns
+1 minute time limit
+ Entire program must be executed


## Finial Experimentation

Table 8. Data collected from final experiment of prototype outlining the variables set during experiment.

| Motors Utilized | Experiment Number | Meshes Utilized | Medium Tested | Weight of Material Loaded (g) | Weight of Material Coated (g) | Time Elapsed for Completion | Mass Flow Rate (g/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geared <br> Bipolar <br> Stepper <br> Motor | 1 | $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Confectioners sugar | 15.76 | 11.22 | 1 min | 0.187 |
|  | 2 | $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Confectioners sugar | 15.83 | 8.92 | 1 min | 0.148666667 |
|  | 3 | $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ | Confectioners sugar | 15.92 | 10.51 | 1 min | 0.175166667 |

## Data Analysis of Final Experiment

Mass Flow Rate of Testing Medium


Rate versus Load run\#1
Rate versus Load run\#2
Rate versus Load run \#3

Maria Sanchez
SLMP Coating Machine

## Data Analysis of Final Experiment

Correlation of Mass Flow and Percentage of Coating per Trail


Maria Sanchez
SLMP Coating Machine

## Results

+ Final Experiment Confirmed:
+ Single Mesh inside of the funnel
+ Two offset mass vibration actuators applied to walls of funnel

Table 9. The average flow rate of all trail runs of the final and average percentage per trail.

| Average Mass Flow Rate | Average Percentage of Coating <br> per Trial |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.17 grams/ second | $64.52 \%$ |

## Budget Overview



## Budget Overview



## Resource Allocation

Hours Per Team Member


- Benjamin Tinsley
- John Shaw
- Maria Sanchez

Marcos Leon

- John Magner
- Vanessa Polomo


## Schedule




## Conclusion

+ The purpose of the presented project was to address the constraints for our SLMP prototype machine.
+ Anode coating was accomplished within 10 minute period
+ Vibration flow was achieved, consistent with results
+ Uniform coating during experimentation was observed
+ Semi-automat functions were established, via electrical components
+ User interface allows for various anode length input


## Lessons Learned

+ Keep the testing atmosphere as clean and consistent as possible
+ When making purchases buy additional parts that have a probability of failure
+ Always allow for additional time for the procurement process
+ Timeline estimations should always be doubled + Everything will take longer than you expect it to
+ Test Test and Test Some More + It is important to frequently troubleshoot all aspects of the design. Every design has flaws and it is important to find/ address them.


## Future Recommendation

+ Addition of a stabilizing base plate as foundation for entire prototype
+ Experimentation with different funnel material and support rod material
+ Exploration of linear vibrational methods rather than vertical displacements
+ Addition of positioning and weight sensors


## Questions/Comments



