Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

TITLE:

Design Package

TEAM MEMBERS:

James Bernstein	Jeremiah Bullis	Oscar Garcia	Nik Hartney
Rafael Bonnelly	Will Resnick	Jay Wells	

										R	EVIS	SION	S										
LTR	DESCRIPTI	ON				DATE	-	A	PPR	OVA	L	LTF	२	DE	SCR	IPTI	ON		DATE	=	A	PPR	OVAL
-	Initial release	e			12/	02/0	2													-			
PAGE																							
REVIS	ION	-	-	-																			
PAGE																			PA	GE	1 (DF	68
REVIS	ION																						

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJECT SCOPE	
2.0	ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND DEFINITIONS	
3.0	APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	
3.1	BATTLEBOT RULES	4
3.2	EVENT PROCEDURES	
3.3	JUDGING RULES	
3.4	ANSI STANDARDS	
4.0	BACKGROUND	
5.0	NEEDS ASSESMENT	5
6.0	SPECIFICATIONS	
6.1	TARGET SPECIFICATIONS	6
7.0	CONCEPT GENERATION AND SELECTION	7
7.1	CONCEPT GENERATION	7
7.2	CONCEPT SELECTION	
8.0	DRIVE TRAIN	
8.1	THEORY	
8.2	DESIGN CALCULATIONS	
8.3	SPROCKET SIZE CALCULATIONS	
9.0	PRIMARY WEAPON SYSTEM / SELF RIGHTING MECHANISM	
9.1	PRIMARY WEAPON FUNCTIONALITY	
9.2	LINKAGE COMPONENTS	
9.3	PNEUMATICS SYSTEM	
9.4	PNEUMATICS SETUP	
10.0	SECONDARY WEAPON SYSTEM	
11.0	STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS	
11.1	1 DRUM BEARING BRACKET	
11.2	2 BULKHEAD	
12.0	3D DESIGN AND DRAFTING	
12.1	1 DRIVE TRAIN DESIGN	
12.2	2 SHAFT DESIGN	
12.3	3 BULKHEAD AND BODY DESIGN	
12.4	4 ROTATING DRUM DESIGN	
12.5	5 INTERNAL COMPONENTS	
13.0	BUDGET ANALYSIS	
13.1	BILL OF MATERIALS	

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

	DATE	12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Packa	ige REV -
13.2	FUNDRA	ISING MONEY DIS	FRIBUTION		
14.0	CONCLU	SIONS			
15.0	APPENDI	CIES			
APPE	ENDIX A.	SCHEDULE REVI	SED SCHEDULE		
APPE	ENDIX B.	CODE OF CONDU	J CT		
APPE	ENDIX C.	DRIVE TRAIN CA	LCULATIONS		48
APPE	ENDIX D.	PRIMARY WEAP	ON SYSTEM CALC	ULATIONS	49
APPF	ENDIX E.	WEIGHT REDUC	FION STRUCTURA	L ANALYSIS	50
APPE	ENDIX F.	DRAWING PACK	AGE		
APPE	ENDIX G.	PART SPECIFICA	TIONS		

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -
-----------------------------	----------------------

1.0 PROJECT SCOPE

The scope of work for the 2002-2003 BattleBot senior design team will cover the following criteria. Improvements will be made on the BattleBot designed by the 2001-2002 senior design team. The BattleBot will be self-righting. The existing drive train will be redesigned for durability and higher performance. The primary and secondary weapon systems will be reevaluated with regards to effectiveness. The BattleBot weight will be optimized.

2.0 ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND DEFINITIONS

The following list defines the acronyms, abbreviations, and definitions used in this document.

BattleBot fighting robot
Student or engineer responsible for environmental testing a UUT using a test facility.
Detailed test facility operating instructions are designed to be a training tool and a reference document. The procedure can also be use for operator recertification.
Facilities used to produce, control, and record the test environment.
Equipment used to operate and monitor the UUT. Unit under test

3.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The documents listed in paragraphs 2.1 to 2.3 form a part of this procedure to the extent specified herein.

3.1 BattleBot Rules

BattleBot_Tech_Regs_v2.2 http://www.battlebots.com/download/BattleBots_Tech_Regs_v2.2.pdf

3.2 Event Procedures

BattleBots Tournament Rules and Procedures http://www.battlebots.com/download/BattleBots_TR&P_v2.1.pdf

3.3 Judging Rules

BattleBots Judges' Guide http://www.battlebots.com/download/Judges_Guide_Rev_0.9.pdf

3.4 ANSI Standards

Documents JIS 1801 and JIS 1802

4.0 BACKGROUND

The basis for this project was established by the Senior Design Team RAD in the 2001-2002 academic year. This team established the baseline for the current robot design. Team RAD BattelBot followed the design process and performed market research, objective and function analysis, specifications, concept generation, and concept selection to come up with their design. The final concept chosen was a wedge shaped robot with a pneumatic lifting arm on top of the robot, and a spinning drum weapon on the back. The Design by Team RAD had good intentions but failed during the BattleBot competition. The faults at

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -
--

hand were an ill thought out drive train construction and lack of consideration for overall robot weight. Due to weight problems, the pneumatic lifting arm had to be removed prior to competition creating a heavy reliance on the rotating drum weapon. The poor construction of the drive train caused a drive belt to misalign and snap on the competition floor causing immobilization and forfeiture.

5.0 NEEDS ASSESMENT

The BattleBot must meet the following Customer Needs:

- Move fast
- Quick acceleration
- Be able to push competitors around arena
- Agile and maneuverable
- Improve weapon effectiveness
- Improve durability
- Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards
- Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging
- Must meet heavyweight division requirements
- Self righting

To confirm and validity and completeness of the customer needs and specifications, the needs and metrics were compared to ensure all needs were accounted for the all metrics were necessary. Table 5.1 shows that all the needs are associated with at least one metric and there are no metrics that do not relate to a customer need.

Needs Matrix of accompetition without needing replacement Imboor account of a system must withstand constant direction and acceleration without needing replacement Impose acceleration changes Imboor account of a system must withstand constant direction and acceleration without needing replacement Impose acceleration changes Imboor account of a system must withstand constant direction and acceleration without needing replacement Imboor account of account of account of acceleration without needing replacement Imboor account of a system must function without needing replacement Imboor acceleration and acceleration without needing replacement Imboor account of a system must function without needing replacement Imboor accounter and cuts Imboor acceleration Imboor acceleration on the replacement Imboor account of a most than whigh traction and acceleration without needing replacement Imboor account of a most than whigh traction and acceleration on the replacement Imboor account of a most than whigh traction and acceleration on the replacement Imboor account of a most than whigh traction and acceleration on the replacement Imboor account of a most than and cuts Imboor acceleration Imboor acceleration Imboor acceleration Imboor account the acceleration on the replacement Imboor acceleratin acceleratere accounteres with acceleratere account the accounte			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Move fast Image: Constraint of the second secon		Needs	ligh top speed	Powerful drive train	Drive system must withstand constant direction and acceleration changes	Bearings must last through entire competition without needing replacemer	Must operate at full power for entire match	fires must resist punctures and cuts	fires must have high traction	Must be highly maneuverable	Drive train must function under the weight of another Bot	Primary weapon must be able to function under weight of another Bot	mpact solid immoveable wall repeatedly at full speed and be fully function	Must survive being tossed through the air	Armor must resist puncture from repeated blows by sharp object	Armor must protect entire robot	Armor must resist temporary encounters with saws	All repairs must be able to be made between matches	Power systems must be ready for each match	Entire Bot must make weight with all components installed	self righting quickly
Quick acceleration •		Move fast	•	•						•			_								
Be able to push competitors around arena • <td></td> <td>Quick acceleration</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>٠</td> <td>٠</td> <td></td>		Quick acceleration		•					٠	٠											
Agile and maneuverable • <t< td=""><td></td><td>Be able to push competitors around arena</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>٠</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>٠</td><td></td><td>٠</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Be able to push competitors around arena		•	٠				٠		٠										
Improve weapon's effectiveness • <		Agile and maneuverable			٠				•	٠											
Improve durability •<		Improve weapon's effectiveness									٠	٠									
Protect Bot from other Bots and hazards Easy assembly/disassembly and recharging		Improve durability			٠	•		٠			٠	٠	•	•							
Easy assembly/disassembly and recharging		Protect Bot from other Bots and hazards						•							•	•	•				
		Easy assembly/disassembly and recharging					•											•	•		
Invision requirements		Must meet heavyweight division requirements					•								•	•	•	•		•	
) Self righting	D	Self righting																			•

Table 5.1.– Needs/Metrics Matrix

6.0 SPECIFICATIONS

8

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -

6.1 Target Specifications

The Target Specifications for the BattleBot are shown in Table 6.1. Specification importance (Imp,) is on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the highest.

	Metric	Imp.	Ideal Value	Acceptab	le Values
				+	-
1	High top speed	4	10 mph	3	3
2	Powerful drive train	5	10 hp	2	4
3	Drive system must withstand constant direction and acceleration changes	5	100 cycles	40	20
4	Bearings must last through entire competition without needing replacement	3	10 matches	2	1
5	Must be highly maneuverable	5	turn within 1 length	0	0.5
6	Drive train must function under the weight of another Bot	3	300lbs	10	80
7	Must operate at full power for entire match	5	5 mins	0	2
8	Tires must resist punctures and cuts	4	75% functional at end of match	25	10
9	Tires must have high traction	4	full power from drivetrain w/o slip	0	10%
10	All repairs must be able to be made between matches	4	20 mins	0	5
11	Power systems must be ready for each match	4	20 mins	0	5
12	Entire bot must make weight with all components installed	5	220 lbs	0	10
13	Self righting quickly	3	7 secs	3	2
14	Primary weapon must be able to function under weight of another Bot	4	300lbs	50	80
15	Impact solid immoveable wall repeatedly at full speed and be fully functional	5	15 times	5	2
16	Must survive being tossed through the air	4	3 ft high drop	2	0
17	Armor must resist puncture from repeated blows by sharp object	4	20 times	10	2
18	Armor must protect entire robot	4	6 sides	0	1
19	Armor must resist temporary encounters with saws	3	3 secs, 4 times	2, 5	0

Table 6 1 _	Target Sp	ocifications
1 abie 0. i –	Taruel Spe	Scincalions

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

|--|

6.2 Final Specifications

The final specifications for BattleBot design are given in Table 6.2

Table	62-	Final	Spec	ifications
rabie	0.2 -	i iiiai	ODEC	incauons

	Metric	Ideal Value
1	High top speed	8 mph
2	Powerful drive train	Two 1 hp
3	Drive system must withstand constant direction and acceleration changes	100 cycles
4	Bearings must last through entire competition without needing replacement	10 matches
5	Must be highly maneuverable	turn within 30 inches
6	Drive train must function under the weight of another Bot	300lbs
7	Must operate at full power for entire match	5 mins
8	Tires must resist punctures and cuts	75% functional at end of match
9	Tires must have high traction	full power from drivetrain w/o slip
10	All repairs must be able to be made between matches	20 mins
11	Power systems must be ready for each match	20 mins
12	Entire bot must make weight with all components installed	220 lbs
13	Self righting quickly	7 secs
14	Primary weapon must be able to function under weight of another Bot	300lbs
15	Impact solid immoveable wall repeatedly at full speed and be fully functional	15 times
16	Must survive being tossed through the air	3 ft high drop
17	Armor must resist puncture from repeated blows by sharp object	300 lbs, 20 times
18	Armor must protect entire robot	6 sides
19	Armor must resist temporary encounters with saws	3 secs, 4 times

7.0 CONCEPT GENERATION AND SELECTION

7.1 Concept Generation

The primary weapon system is mounted on the front of the Bot and will serve and the main method of attack. The concepts generated for the primary weapon system can be seen in Table 7.1.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Projectile Spear

Spinning Blades of Death

The secondary weapon system will serve as another means of attack. It will also facilitate attack from multiple angles and directions as well as greater feasibility in attacking different types of Bots. The concepts generated for the secondary weapon system can be seen in Table 7.2

Table 7.2 – Secondary Weapon System



Existing Drum

In the event that the Bot is flipped, either by a hazard or another Bot, the self-righting mechanism will flip the Bot back on its wheels so it is not immobilized and therefore eliminated. Table 7.3 shows the concepts generated for the self righting mechanism.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -



The drive train must transmit power to the wheels and be able to stand up to the high loads and shocks from other Bots and the hazards in the arena. Concepts generated for the drive train can be found in Table 7.4.





Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

|--|

7.2 Concept Selection

A rough comparison was made of the concepts in order to eliminate as many unfeasible solutions as possible. Each concept was given a plus (+), minus (-) or zero (0) according to whether it fulfilled the specification or not. By summing the pluses and minuses, the feasibility of each concept was determined. After careful consideration, some were eliminated. Table 7.5 shows the comparison matrix for the primary weapon system.

	Concepts							
Selection Criteria	Flipper 1	Flipper 2	Hammer Spike	Projectile Spear	Spinning Blades			
Move fast	0	0	0	0	0			
Quick acceleration	0	0	0	0	0			
Be able to push competitors around arena	+	+	-	-	-			
Agile and maneuverable	0	0	0	0	0			
Improve weapon effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0			
Improve durability	0	0	0	0	0			
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	+	+	-	-	0			
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	0	0	0	0	-			
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	+	+	-	-	-			
Self righting	+	-	+	-	-			
Low cost	-	-	-	-	-			
Ease of machining	+	+	+	-	0			
Feasibility of timely production	+	+	+	0	0			
Use existing parts	+	+	-	-	-			
Sum +'s	7	6	3	0	0			
Sum 0's	6	6	6	7	8			
Sum -'s	1	2	5	7	6			
Net Score	6	4	-2	-7	-6			
Rank	1	2	3	5	4			
Continue?	yes	yes	no	no	no			

Table 7.5 – Phase 1 Matrix for Primary Weapon System

After careful consideration, the Hammer Spike, Projectile Spear and Spinning Blades were eliminated. To narrow the selection further, the remaining two possibilities were tested by assigning a weight and value to the fulfillment of each specification and summing the numbers to get a total score. If it seemed that the concept with the highest score was the best, all other concepts were eliminated. If not, a more rigorous selection would have to be performed. Fortunately, this more rigorous selection process was not necessary. Table 7.6 shows the final concept selection for the primary weapon system.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

		Concepts				
		Flipper 1			Flipper 2	
Selection Criteria	Weight	Rating	Weighted Score	Rating	Weighted Score	
Move fast	3%	2	0.06	2	0.06	
Quick acceleration	4%	2	0.08	2	0.08	
Be able to push competitors around arena	12%	4	0.48	3	0.36	
Agile and maneuverable	7%	4	0.28	4	0.28	
Improve weapon effectiveness	11%	5	0.55	3	0.33	
Improve durability	12%	3	0.36	3	0.36	
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	6%	3	0.18	4	0.24	
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	5%	3	0.15	3	0.15	
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	9%	4	0.36	4	0.36	
Self righting	10%	5	0.5	3	0.3	
Low cost	11%	3	0.33	3	0.33	
Ease of machining	4%	2	0.08	2	0.08	
Feasibility of timely production	3%	3	0.09	3	0.09	
Use existing parts	3%	2	0.06	2	0.06	
Net Score	100%		3.56		3.08	
Rank			1		2	
Continue?			yes		no	

The Flipper 1 was determined to be the best concept and will be developed.

The same procedure was used to determine the concepts for all other categories. Table 7.7 shows the rough selection matrix for the secondary weapon system. It was determined that even though there was a difference in the scores, no concepts could be eliminated at this phase because they all showed possible merit.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design

Design Package REV -

	Concepts								
Selection Criteria	Helical Spikes	Triangular Bar	Rectangular Bar	Existing Drum					
Move fast	0	0	0	0					
Quick acceleration	0	0	0	0					
Be able to push competitors around arena	0	0	0	0					
Agile and maneuverable	0	0	0	0					
Improve weapon effectiveness	+	+	-	0					
Improve durability	-	0	0	0					
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	0	0	0	0					
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	0	0	0	0					
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	0	+	+	0					
Self righting	0	0	0	0					
Low cost	0	0	0	+					
Ease of machining	-	+	+	-					
Feasibility of timely production	0	+	+	0					
Use existing parts	-	-	-	+					
Sum +'s	1	4	3	2					
Sum 0's	8	9	9	10					
Sum -'s	3	1	1	1					
Net Score	-2	3	2	1					
Rank	4	1	2	3					
Continue?	yes	yes	yes	yes					

Table 7.7 – Phase 1 Matrix for Secondary Weapon System

All four concepts were ranked in the weighted matrix as seen in Table 7.8. After careful consideration, including cost and use of existing parts, the existing drum was decided upon as the best concept.

		Concepts							
		Helic	Helical Spikes Triangular Bar Rectangular Bar Exist					Existi	ing Drum
Selection Criteria	Weight	Rating	Weighted Score	Rating	Weighted Score	Rating	Weighted Score	Rating	Weighted Score
Move fast	3%	3	0.09	4	0.12	4	0.12	4	0.12
Quick acceleration	4%	1	0.04	3	0.12	3	0.12	1	0.04
Be able to push competitors around arena	12%	3	0.36	3	0.36	3	0.36	4	0.48
Agile and maneuverable	7%	2	0.14	3	0.21	3	0.21	2	0.14
Improve weapon effectiveness	11%	4	0.44	4	0.44	4	0.44	5	0.55
Improve durability	12%	3	0.36	3	0.36	3	0.36	3	0.36
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	6%	2	0.12	2	0.12	2	0.12	2	0.12
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	5%	3	0.15	3	0.15	3	0.15	4	0.2
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	9%	2	0.18	4	0.36	2	0.18	2	0.18
Self righting	10%	1	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.1
Low cost	11%	2	0.22	3	0.33	3	0.33	5	0.55
Ease of machining	4%	1	0.04	2	0.08	2	0.08	3	0.12
Feasibility of timely production	3%	3	0.09	3	0.09	3	0.09	3	0.09
Use existing parts	3%	1	0.03	1	0.03	1	0.03	5	0.15
Net Score	100%		2.36		2.87		2.69		3.2
Rank			4		2		3		1
Continue?			no		no		no		yes

Table 7.8 – Phase 2 Matrix for Secondary Weapon System

The rough selection matrix for the self righting mechanism is shown in Table 7.9. It was determined that using either of the flippers as the self righting mechanism was the best of the concepts.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

	Concepts								
Selection Criteria	Flipping Arms	Flipping Rod	Geometric Balance	Flipper 1	Flipper 2				
Move fast	0	0	0	0	0				
Quick acceleration	0	0	0	0	0				
Be able to push competitors around arena	0	0	0	0	0				
Agile and maneuverable	0	0	0	0	0				
Improve weapon effectiveness	0	0	0	0	0				
Improve durability	0	0	0	0	0				
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	-	-	+	+	+				
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	-	-	+	0	0				
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	-	-	-	0	0				
Self righting	+	+	+	+	+				
Low cost	-	-	-	-	-				
Ease of machining	-	-	-	0	0				
Feasibility of timely production	0	0	0	0	0				
Use existing parts	-	-	-	0	+				
Sum +'s	1	1	3	2	3				
Sum 0's	7	7	7	11	10				
Sum -'s	6	6	4	1	1				
Net Score	-5	-5	-1	1	2				
Rank	4	5	3	2	1				
Continue?	no	no	no	yes	yes				

Table 7.9– Phase 1 Matrix for Self Righting Mechanism

The two flipping arms were carried over to the weighted matrix as seen in Table 7.10. The Flipper 1 concept was determined to be the best concept. Fortunately, the Flipper 1 concept was also determined as the best primary weapon system. Had this not been the case, the selection of both systems would have been revaluated.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

		Concepts				
			Flipper 1		Flipper 2	
Selection Criteria	Weight	Rating	Weighted Score	Rating	Weighted Score	
Move fast	3%	2	0.06	2	0.06	
Quick acceleration	4%	3	0.12	3	0.12	
Be able to push competitors around arena	12%	2	0.24	2	0.24	
Agile and maneuverable	7%	2	0.14	2	0.14	
Improve weapon effectiveness	11%	4	0.44	2	0.22	
Improve durability	12%	2	0.24	2	0.24	
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	6%	4	0.24	4	0.24	
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	5%	2	0.1	2	0.1	
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	9%	4	0.36	4	0.36	
Self righting	10%	5	0.5	3	0.3	
Low cost	11%	2	0.22	2	0.22	
Ease of machining	4%	4	0.16	4	0.16	
Feasibility of timely production	3%	4	0.12	4	0.12	
Use existing parts	3%	3	0.09	3	0.09	
Net Score	100%		3.03		2.61	
Rank			1		2	
Continue?			yes		no	

Table 7 10-	Phase 2	Matrix f	or Self	Riahtina	Mechanism
10010 1.10	1 11000 2	matrix r		rugnung	wicona nom

Finally, the drive system was evaluated using the rough selection matrix as seen in Table 7.11. It was determined that chains would make the best drive system even though it did not win out decisively over belts. This decision was made due to the fact that the belts failed in the 2002 competition and that chains could be more durable.

		Con	cepts	
Selection Criteria	Gears	Belts	Chains	Linkage
Move fast	0	0	0	0
Quick acceleration	0	0	0	0
Be able to push competitors around arena	0	0	0	0
Agile and maneuverable	0	0	0	0
Improve weapon effectiveness	0	0	0	0
Improve durability	+	-	+	-
Armor must protect Bot from other Bots and hazards	0	0	0	0
Easy assembly/disassembly and battery recharging	+	-	+	-
Must meet heavyweight division requirements	-	+	+	-
Self righting	0	0	0	0
Low cost	-	+	+	-
Ease of machining	-	+	+	-
Feasibility of timely production	0	+	+	-
Use existing parts	-	+	-	-
Sum +'s	2	5	6	0
Sum 0's	8	7	7	7
Sum -'s	4	2	1	7
Net Score	-2	3	5	-7
Rank	3	2	1	4
Continue?	no	no	yes	no

Table 7.11 – Phase 1 Matrix for Drive Train

The final concept selections for all systems can be seen in Table 7.12

Table 7.12 – Final Concept Selections for all Systems

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Self Righting Mechanism – Flipper 1

8.0 DRIVE TRAIN

8.1 Theory

Chain drives transmit power from one shaft to another through a chain made of links, connected by rollers which are in mesh with teeth on sprockets attached to each shaft. Chain size is denoted by the chain pitch, or the distance between each link as seen in Figure 8.1^[1].



Figure 8.1 – Chain Pitch

The limiting factor on the design of the chain drives is the number of teeth on the small sprocket. This is based on the horsepower being transmitted and the RPM of the small sprocket. The more teeth there are on the small sprocket, the higher the power that can be transmitted. Manufactures of chain drive components have tabulated this data as seen in Table 8.1^[1].

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

Table 8.1 – Table for Sprocket Sizing Based on Horsepower and RPM

ANSI Bitch No	on Small Sprocket					Small Spro	ocket RPM				
	oprocket _	50	500	1200	1800	2500	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000
25	11	0.03	0.23	0.50	0.73	0.98	1.15	1.38	0.99	0.75	0.49
	15	0.04	0.32	0.70	1.01	1.36	1.61	2.08	1.57	1.20	0.78
	20	0.06	0.44	0.96	1.38	1.86	2.19	2.84	2.42	1.84	1.20
	25	0.07	0.56	1.22	1.76	2.37	2.79	3.61	3.38	2.57	1.67
	30	0.08	0.68	1.49	2.15	2.88	3.40	4.40	4.45	3.38	2.20
	40	0.12	0.92	2.03	2.93	3.93	4.64	6.00	6.85	5.21	3.38
35	11	0.10	0.77	1.70	2.45	3.30	2.94	1.91	1.37	1.04	0.67
	15	0.14	1.08	2.38	3.43	4.61	4.68	3.04	2.17	1.65	1.07
	20	0.19	1.48	3.25	4.68	6.29	7.20	4.68	3.35	2.55	1.65
	25	0.24	1.88	4.13	5.95	8.00	9.43	6.54	4.68	3.56	2.31
	30	0.29	2.29	5.03	7.25	9.74	11.50	8.59	6.15	4.68	3.04
	40	0.39	3.12	6.87	9.89	13.30	15.70	13.20	9.47	7.20	4.68
		Type I		Type II					Type III		

The RPM and horsepower are known, thus the number of teeth on the small sprocket can be read directly from the chart. It can be seen that heavier chains can not run at as high RPMs as smaller chains. Also, as the RPM increases, the power transmitted increases as would be expected. However, as the RPM gets higher, there is a point where the rollers impact the sprocket teeth so hard that the bushings are galled, resulting in a dramatic reduction in power transmitted. Thus, operating at the below this maximum power transmission will lead to the most efficient chain drive with the longest life.

The distance between the shafts is also important. As the distance decreases, the wrap of the chain around the larger sprocket increases while the wrap of the smaller sprocket decreases. Since it is better to have more teeth in mesh with the chain at one time, the center distance should be as great as possible. The recommended distance is 30-50 pitches^[5].

8.2 Design Calculations

An overall gear reduction of between 5:1 and 6:1 was used last year and was satisfactory, so the chain drive was designed with this reduction. It quickly became apparent that a compound reduction would be necessary. With a minimum of 10 teeth recommended on the small sprocket, the large sprocket would have to have at least 50 teeth to accomplish the reduction directly. This meant that for #35 ANSI chain, the large sprocket would have to be approximately 7 inches in diameter. Since the wheels are only five inches in diameter, this would mean that the sprocket would stick out of the bottom of the robot and hit the ground. Thus, a compound reduction was necessary to keep the size of the large sprocket on the wheel shaft to a minimum.

The chain and sprockets for the drive train needed to be as light as possible while retaining high strength. In addition, the space constraints meant that the diameter of the sprockets could not be much larger than 3 inches. The power to be transmitted was approximately 1 horsepower.

8.3 Sprocket Size Calculations

After deciding on steel chain, the size was determined by examining the horsepower capacity as well as the size. As the size of the chain increased, the diameter of the sprockets did also. In addition, as the size increased, the maximum RPM decreased. The sizes near the operating range were #25, #35, and #40 ANSI chain. Using the information seen in Table 8.2^[1], #35 ANSI

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

chain was chosen because it gave plenty of strength, could operate at the high RPM at the motor, but was small enough that the sprockets would fit into the BattleBot.

ANSI Bitch No	No. Teeth on Small Sprocket					Small Snr	ocket RPM				
Then No.	Sprocker	50	500	1200	1800	2500	3000	4000	5000	6000	8000
25	11	0.03	0.23	0.50	0.73	0.98	1.15	1.38	0.99	0.75	0.49
	15	0.04	0.32	0.70	1.01	1.36	1.61	2.08	1.57	1.20	0.78
	20	0.06	0.44	0.96	1.38	1.86	2.19	2.84	2.42	1.84	1.20
	25	0.07	0.56	1.22	1.76	2.37	2.79	3.61	3.38	2.57	1.67
	30	0.08	0.68	1.49	2.15	2.88	3.40	4.40	4.45	3.38	2.20
	40	0.12	0.92	2.03	2.93	3.93	4.64	6.00	6.85	5.21	3.38
35	11	0.10	0.77	1.70	2.45	3.30	2.94	1.91	1.37	1.04	0.67
	15	0.14	1.08	2.38	3.43	4.61	4.68	3.04	2.17	1.65	1.07
	20	0.19	1.48	3.25	4.68	6.29	7.20	4.68	3.35	2.55	1.65
	25	0.24	1.88	4.13	5.95	8.00	9.43	6.54	4.68	3.56	2.31
	30	0.29	2.29	5.03	7.25	9.74	11.50	8.59	6.15	4.68	3.04
	40	0.39	3.12	6.87	9.89	13.30	15.70	13.20	9.47	7.20	4.68
		Type I		Type II					Type III		

Table 8.2 – Sprocket Sizing Table with Approximate Operating Ranges of Drive Train Highlighted

Once the #35 chain was chosen, the next step was to size the sprockets. Since a compound train was to be used, the size of the sprockets, as well as the individual reductions of each train could be manipulated. The overall reduction was calculated using Equation 8.1 where $N_1 - N_4$ are the number of teeth on each sprocket and M_1 and M_2 are the reduction ratios.

$$M_{total} = (M_1)(M_2) = \frac{N_2}{N_1} \frac{N_4}{N_3}$$
(8.1)

The gear locations are shown in Figure 8.2.



Figure 8.2 – Compound Gear Train

Using MathCad, many combinations of gear ratios and numbers of teeth were explored. From the geometry of the BattleBot, it was determined that the wheel sprocket could not be larger than 21 teeth. If it was any larger, it would hit the bottom of the body. This helped to reduce the number of variables in the problem. After many iterations, it was determined that the more even the reduction ratios were between the two trains, the better the overall design. This was due to the fact that as the first ratio was increased, the RPM of the second train's small sprocket was reduced, resulting in less power capacity as seen in Table 8.2. These calculations can be found in Appendix C.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -

After many iterations, the sprockets were finally sized and it was determined that they would fit into the BattleBot. The sizes are shown in Table 8.3.

	Table 8.3 – Sprocket Sizes	
Sprocket Number	Number of Teeth	OD (in)
1	10	1.38
2	25	3.19
3	10	1.38
4	21	2.71

The factors of safety for each train were also calculated. Since the weakest part of the drive train was the small sprocket, the factor of safety of each train was based on that part. Using Table 8.2, the factor of safety was calculated using Equation 8.2 where n was the factor of safety.

$$n = \frac{load_{capacity}}{load_{applied}}$$
(8.2)

The load capacity was obtained from Table 8.2 and the load applied was equal to the horsepower of the motor, or 1 hp. The factor of safety for Train 1 was 2.5, and was 1.7 for Train 2. These calculations can be seen in Appendix C.

Sprockets 1 and 3 were made the same size to reduce the number of different parts required. The overall ratio, calculated using Equation 8.1, was found to be 5.25:1 which was within the tolerance.

Next, the length of chain needed to be calculated. This was done using Equation 8.3 where L is the length of chain in pitches, C is the center distance in pitches, N_1 is the number of teeth on the small sprocket, and N_2 is the number of teeth on the large sprocket.

$$L = 2C + \frac{N_2 + N_1}{2} + \frac{(N_2 - N_1)^2}{4\pi^2 C}$$
(8.3)

The length of chain between the motor and compound shafts was found to be 23.25 inches, between the compound and front wheel shafts was 16.5 inches and between the front and rear wheel shafts was 27.375 inches. These detailed calculations can be found in Appendix C.

9.0 PRIMARY WEAPON SYSTEM / SELF RIGHTING MECHANISM

One major feature of the existing BattleBot that has been redesigned is the primary weapon system. The original primary weapon system was designed to be a flipping mechanism. However, the entire BattleBot would have to be wedged underneath the opponent for the weapon to be effective.

Concept generation and selection resulted in a new primary weapon system design. The new primary weapon system is arranged in such a way that it will serve as a self-righting mechanism, SRM. The primary weapon system / self-righting mechanism will now be referred to as the SRM. The new SRM design consists of a long beam-arm powered by a pneumatic piston. One end of the beam-arm is attached to the top-front of the BattleBot and rotates about the attachment.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -

9.1 Primary Weapon Functionality

For the new SRM to flip an opponent, the opponent must be positioned on top of the front edge of the SRM arm. The front edge of the SRM arm is spatula shaped to increase the chance of proper positioning. Figure 9.1 shows the redesigned BattleBot SRM linkage arrangement.



Figure 9.1: Redesigned BattleBot SRM

As shown in Figure 9.1, the retracted piston position gives the SRM-arm a low clearance. The intention of this design is to be able to scoop under an opponent. Once positioned properly, the piston will fire and the opponent will be toppled over by the SRM-arm. The SRM exerts about 323 pounds of force at the spatula end of the arm when the piston begins to extend from retracted position. An opponent's weight cannot exceed 220 lb since the BattleBot will compete in the heavyweight class. Therefore, the SRM will have ample force to extend. The total time for the SRM to fully extend is 0.267 sec, determined by the piston stroke length and extension rate.

If by chance the BattleBot becomes overturned, the SRM will take advantage of its 71.2 degrees of rotational freedom and set the Bot right side up. Instead of using complex dynamics calculations to verify the ability of the mechanism to function properly, a simulation program called Working Model was used. The weight, geometry, and similar forces were input to generate an accurate simulation. Figure 9.2 is a sequence of frames from the simulation program.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -



Figure 9.2: Working Model Simulation

9.2 Linkage Components

The two major components of the SRM are the arm and the piston. Most of the force and stress calculations are centered on these two parts. The other parts of the SRM require fabrication. These parts are brackets, the SRM saddle and flipper and the pins.

The piston is a VP series custom-made, non-lubricated tie-rod cylinder manufactured by Vickers. It has an 8" stroke length and measures 15" long retracted. With an operating pressure of 250 psi and a 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ " bore, the piston exerts 1227 lbf. The mounting style is shown in Figure 9.4 as cap fixed clevis.



Figure 9.3: Vickers Tie-Rod Cylinder

An NFPA Rod Eye is the type of attachment specified for the rod end and an NFPA Eye Bracket attaches to the clevis on the cap. The Rod Eye and Eye Bracket is shown in Figure 9.4:

Team 9 BattleBot

```
DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -
```

NFPA Rod Eye





Figure 9.4: Vickers Tie-Rod Cylinder Attachments

The parts of the SRM that require fabrication are shown below in Figure 9.5:



Figure 9.5: SRM Linkage Fabrication Parts

Parts 1, 2 and 3 are welded directly to the arm, part 9. Parts 4 and 5 are welded to part 6, but part 4 is also attached to 6 with screws. Parts 1 through 6 are all fabricated steel. Part 6 is the SRM saddle mount, cut from a steel angle. The saddle will be attached to struts located internally. The existing aluminum struts have been redesigned and new composite struts will be used instead. The method of attaching the saddle to the struts has yet to be designed.

Part 7 is a solid aluminum cylinder bracket mount and will be welded to the base of the BattleBot. A piece of angle is bolted to the bottom of the Bot behind the bracket mount for bracing. All pins used in the linkage are $\frac{1}{2}$ " diameter aluminum.

9.3 Pneumatics System

To power the pneumatic cylinder for the Primary Weapon System, an adequate pneumatics setup must be chosen. To power a pneumatic cylinder on a mobile and ungrounded system, a remote fluid reservoir is required. The fluid reservoir takes its shape as a containment tank connected to the pneumatic cylinder. From the reservoir to the cylinder, the fluid must be conditioned and controlled to provide proper cylinder operation.

BattleBot technical Regulation 8.2.1 restricts the allowable gas types used on a BattleBot to either Nitrogen (N2), Carbon Dioxide (CO2), or a mixture of both. The maximum allowable storage

ſ

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

= = = : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

pressures for the gas types are: 2500 psi for nitrogen and 1000 psi for carbon dioxide (Tech. Reg. 8.2.2). Nitrogen was chosen as the working fluid in the pneumatics system.

By using nitrogen some of the 2001-2002 BattleBot Team pneumatics system components could be reused. The working fluid for the previous setup was air, and being that N2 is an inert gas with no corrosive properties, the same reservoir tank could be used. Under the given storage conditions more N2 can be stored on the BattleBot than CO2, and with a limitation of 250 psi actuation pressure (BattleBot Technical Regulation 8.2.6 a higher amount of cylinder firings can be made per match.

9.4 Pneumatics Setup

To maximize the amount of nitrogen storable in a minimal volume, the highest allowable storage pressure of 2500 psi was chosen with use of the existing Luxfer Cylinders reservoir tank. With a reservoir pressure of 2500 psi and a cylinder operating pressure of 250 psi, a regulator must be used to step down the N2 pressure. Once the operating pressure is established, the flow must be controlled to the double acting pneumatic cylinder. The flow control can be performed by way of a valve.

There are two main types of valves that can be remotely operated to control flow in a pneumatic circuit, solenoid actuated valves and pilot actuated valves. A solenoid-actuated valve was chosen for the pneumatics setup for simplicity. A pilot actuated valve, in our case, would require the use of two extra solenoid valves to control it thereby further complicating the system, adding weight, and requiring further integration. A 4-way 2-position solenoid actuated valve is required to operate the double acting pneumatic cylinder that was chosen to allow exhaust gas to be vented from one end of the cylinder, while the other end is being energized, Figure 9.6.



The 4-way 2-position solenoid actuated valve chosen was ASCO Piston/Poppet Single Solenoid Valve #8344G1. The valve was chosen for its sturdy solid construction and market availability.

A fault of the previous BattleBot design was the slow energizing of the pneumatic cylinder. A lack of pressure in the system and lag time from the regulator were believed to be at fault. To remedy this situation, a buffer tank was introduced into the pneumatic circuit to ensure that the circuit is always pressurized to the operating pressure of 250 psi and an ample volume of N2 available. A tank, smaller than the reservoir, was placed inline with the reservoir and valve to ensure the 250-psi operating pressure was maintained. The tank chosen, for compatibility with the reservoir tank on hand produced by Luxfer Cylinders, was Luxfer Cylinders N004. A layout diagram of the entire pneumatic circuit can be seen in Figure 9.7.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -





10.0 SECONDARY WEAPON SYSTEM

The existing Secondary Weapon System consists of a 25-inch rotating steel drum armed with two horizontal edges mounted on the rear of the robot. The rotating drum is designed to inflict damage on opponents much like a saw. The drum is mounted on two large Aluminum (6061) brackets and is driven 1/3-hp 24-V Dayton Motor (4200rpm) on slipping V-Belt.

The design of the existing Secondary Weapon System was determined to be very effective on the previous BattleBot and primarily remains the same. The only aspects of the Secondary Weapon System that have been reworked are the mounts for the drum motor and the drum itself. The mounts for the motor were reworked to slightly reposition the motor within the robot assembly. The mounts for the rotating drum were redesigned to optimize the strength to weight ratio and is discussed in detail in Section 11.1 below.

11.0 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

11.1 Drum Bearing Bracket

One of the main problems of last year was that the bot was overweight. So weight reduction became a very important aspect of the design. The drum bearing blocks and the interior bulkheads were one of the main focus points for the weight reduction. With the aid of Pro-Engineering CAD software and ALGOR FEM package these parts were modeled and analyzed. Then different designs and materials were implemented and the results were compared. With the drum bearing blocks material was cut from the bulky brackets to make them lighter without loosing their rigidity. The final selection is shown in Figure 11.1 and Figure 12.14. Even though this one had the biggest displacement it was still extremely small and having the extra weight off was worth it.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -





Figure 11.1: Final Drum Bearing Block Design

11.2 Bulkhead

For the bulkhead the decision was to leave the design the way it was because all the mounting points for different components were needed plus the flipping arm will attach to them. No strength could be sacrificed, so instead their material was looked into. Instead of Aluminum Alloy that has a tensile strength of 33ksi a high modulus carbon fiber with a tensile strength of 110 ksi was chosen. Because of the strength difference a smaller thickness was used from .5" to .375". Here is where a big chunk of weight was reduced. With the aluminum the two bulkheads weighed a combined 16.757 pounds, with the carbon fiber the weight dramatically reduces to 6.875 pounds. This was due to the differences in density (aluminum alloy 6061 .0975 lb/in³, high modulus carbon fiber 0.061 lb/in³). Bulkheads can be seen in Figure 12.10.

12.0 3D DESIGN AND DRAFTING

Many details of the BattleBot design had to go beyond pure calculations. Many issues, such as availability of parts, packaging, and weight all had large impacts on this design. 3-D modeling in Pro/E was invaluable in solving these problems. The entire BattleBot was created in the computer, down to the last detail, as seen in Figure 12.1.

Team 9 BattleBot



Figure 12.1 – Assembly of BattleBot

All of the practical considerations were examined, such as securing sprockets to shafts, mounting motors and holding tanks.

12.1 Drive Train Design

Many of the aspects of the original design were left as is, some were totally removed and some were modified. The main chassis of the robot was left mainly untouched. The armor struts, seen on the front and sides in black were left mostly alone. The front armor strut required slight modification to allow the lifting arm to extend to the front of the robot (not pictured here). The body shell, made of ¼ inch aluminum was also left alone aside from the few holes necessary for mounting new components.

The drive train was totally redesigned, except for the motors and wheels. The old belt system was totally removed and replaced with a chain drive. The locations of the wheels remained the same as well as the rough placement of the motors and shafts as seen in Figure 12.2.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Figure 12.2 – Drive Train Components

The motor has a 10 tooth sprocket which is attached via the chain to a 25 tooth sprocket on the compound shaft (green sprocket). The 10 tooth sprocket on the compound shaft (blue) rotates with the green sprocket and is connected to a 21 tooth sprocket on the front wheel shaft (yellow). The front and rear wheel shafts are finally connected together by a 21 tooth sprocket on each shaft (yellow).

Tensioning the chain was a very important problem to solve. If the chain was too loose, it could fall off or cause severe rhythmic vibrations. Many different tensioning mechanisms were explored. One of the first ideas was to make one of the shafts moveable like on a bicycle rear tire. The shaft could be slid back until the chain was tight and then bolted down. The first problem encountered with this design was that the two wheel shafts could not be moved easily. However, this idea did seem to work well for the compound shaft or motor. The motor could either slide or rotate to tension the chain between it and the compound shaft. This did however present problems with mounting the motor securely and was discarded. The next idea was to move the compound shaft by cutting slots into its bearing blocks as seen in Figure 12.3.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -



Figure 12.3 – Bearing Block to Allow Compound Shaft to Tension Chain

This would allow the whole compound shaft to slide up to tension the chain. This idea worked well for the chain between the motor and the compound shaft but made the chain between the compound shaft and front wheel shaft looser.

The next idea for the chain between the compound shaft and front wheel shaft was to let the adjustable bearing block slide in grooves vertically as well as horizontally as seen in Figure 12.4.



Figure 12.4 – Two-Way Adjustable Bearing Block

Thus, tension could be applied to both chains at the same time without adding extra weight or parts. Simply by giving the compound shaft two degrees of freedom, both chains could be tightened at once. Details of the bearing blocks can be found in Drawing 5 Sheet, 1.

This solution could not be applied to the chain between the two wheel shafts. The next idea for those shafts was to use a tensioner and idler sprocket as seen in Figure 12.5 to take up the slack in the chain.

Team 9 BattleBot

Г

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAM	Design Package REV -
----------------------------	----------------------



Figure 12.5 – Tensioner with Idler Sprocket

This particular tensioner applied force to the chain by screwing the bolt on the top which moved the idler up or down. Other types of tensioners work using springs or simply by having grooved mounting holes, but this seemed to be the most rugged and easiest to adjust. This tensioner worked well for the chain between the two wheel shafts but added weight to the design.

All of the drive train components can be seen in Figure 12.6.



Figure 12.6 – All Final Drive Train Components

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

|--|

12.2 Shaft Design

Attaching the sprockets to the shafts and securing the sprockets into the bearings was a problem for the BattleBot last year. The sprockets were attached to the shafts using set screws and flats on the shafts. This was acceptable for normal driving, but the high impacts and constant direction changes made the set screws become loose. This resulted in one of the sprockets becoming misaligned and breaking the belt. For this years design, a much more rugged design was needed.

The diameter of the wheel shafts was 5/8 inch and was not a problem last year. This year, all three shafts were made the same diameter to cut down on different sizes of materials and parts. The shafts rode in bearings seated in bearing blocks. The inner bearing block was bolted to the bulkhead and the outer was bolted to the body. As seen in Figure 6. The wheel shafts were captured in place on the inside by the bulkhead wall, which was not cut out behind the bearing block. On the body side, an E-ring was used to secure the shaft. Dimensions for the E-rings can be found in Appendix B.

The compound shaft was captured on one side by the bulkhead and on the other by the body. Since neither the bulkhead nor the body was cut out behind the adjustable bearing blocks, the shaft could not slide.

Multiple methods were used to secure the sprockets to the shafts. For the motor sprocket, two set screws offset at 90° secured the sprocket to the shaft as seen in Figure 12.7.



Figure 12.7 – Plain Bore Sprocket as Purchased and Finished Motor Sprocket with Bored Out Center and Two 90° Set Screws

Since the shaft diameter of the motor was metric (12mm), a plain bore sprocket was selected to be bored to the correct diameter. The set screw holes would then be tapped as seen in Drawing 5, Sheet 1. For all other sprockets, a keyway, E-rings and set screws were used. The sprockets chosen can be seen in Figure 12.8.



Figure 12.8 – Finished Bore Sprockets

Г

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 Design Package REV -

Standard square keys were used for each sprocket. These standard sizes are based on shaft diameter and can be found in Table 12.1.

Bore Size	Keyway Wd.×Dp.
1/4" - 1/2"	$1/8'' \times 1/16''$
5/8" - 7/8"	$^{3/_{16}'' \times ^{3/_{32}''}}$
¹⁵ / ₁₆ " - 1 ¹ / ₄ "	$1/4'' \times 1/8''$
15/16" - 13/8"	$5/16'' \times 5/32''$
17/16″	³ /8" × ³ /16"

Table 12.1 – Standard Key Sizes

Since the key is square, half of the key protrudes into the shaft and the other half into the sprocket. Thus, the depth of the cut is half the width of the key. The keyway dimensions can be found in Drawing 5, Sheets 1 and 2.

The sprockets also come with two 90° offset set screws. These will be tightened for two reasons. First, it will help secure the sprocket from sliding laterally on the shaft. Also, it will take up any tolerance between the key and the slot, and help reduce backlash.

To further secure the sprockets and shafts, and E-ring will be placed 0.025 inches from either side of the sprocket as seen in Figure 12.9. The dimensions for the E-ring Slots can be found in Drawing 5, Sheets 1 and 2. Also, the manufacturers design information can be found in Appendix G.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Figure 12.9 – Shaft Assembly Showing Sprocket and E-Ring Slots

Finally, wheels had to be secured to the shafts. Last year, a Woodruff key was used to keep the wheel from rotating. It was decided to eliminate the Woodruff key and use the same size keys throughout to keep the tooling and parts cost low. To keep the wheel from sliding laterally, the end of the shaft was threaded and the wheel secured with a nut. This idea was also scrapped because of the high machining costs and the fact that some of the threads were damaged during the match and made removing the nut very difficult. This year, the wheels were secured with an E-ring on each side as seen in Figure 12.9.

12.3 Bulkhead and Body Design

The Bulkheads, shown in Figure 1 were very important to the design of the BattleBot. Almost everything in the robot was attached to these two structures. Last year, they were made of 1/2 inch this aluminum plate. This year, to save weight, they will be made of carbon fiber sheet which is 3/8 inch thick. This alone caused some design problems. The carbon sheet could be crushed by the force of the bolts when they are tightened, so every screw hole in the bulkhead had to have an aluminum insert to keep the carbon fiber from collapsing. This can be seen in Figure 12.10.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV n 0 0 ø 0 ٥ Ó 0 a 0 0 φ 0 0 ۲ ۲ ۰ 0 ۵ 0

Figure 12.10 – Carbon Fiber Bulkheads with Inserts

3-D modeling was invaluable in designing the locations of all the screw holes on each bulkhead. All the parts that were attached were brought into the model and alignment of the screw holes was checked. Details of the bulkheads can be found in Drawing 4, Sheets 1-4. The bulkheads were secured to the body by 3/8 inch bolts that passed through tabs welded to the body as seen in Figure 12.11.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -
		<u> </u>



Figure 12.11 – Bulkheads Secured to Body by Tabs

Welding the tabs to the body was an improvement over last years design because it eliminated bolt heads on the bottom side of the BattleBot. Some of the bolt heads were severely damaged due to hazards and impacts and had to be drilled out. They could also catch the BattleBot on obstacles and stop it. The current design has eliminated all bolt heads from the bottom side. There are, however, still bolt holes to secure the tabs to the body. This was done so that the tabs could be bolted in place during welding, ensuring an accurate fit. After welding, they will be removed. Details of the body and tabs can be found in Drawing 3, Sheets 1-4.

12.4 Rotating Drum Design

The rotating drum system was left largely alone. The drum motor was left in its same place as last year with only minor modifications to fit it to the carbon fiber bulkheads as seen in Figure 12.12. The details of the drum motor mounts can be found in Drawing 6, Sheet 2.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL





Figure 12.12 – Drum Motor Mounted in BattleBot

The drum itself was mounted to the body with two large drum mounts as seen in Figure 12.13.



Figure 12.13 – Drum with Mounts

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -

The only modification made to this setup was to try to reduce weight. The original drum mounts were made of 1 inch thick aluminum and weighed 4.7 pounds. After many different designs were examined with FEM analysis, the final design was created as seen in Figure 12.14.



Figure 12.14 – Original Drum Mount and Modified Drum Mount

Material was cut out 3/8 inch deep on either side resulting in a weight reduction of 2.9 pounds. By creating the 3-D model, the ribs were able to be placed directly over the screw holes without worry of interfering with and threads. Also, the exact weight of each part was accurately approximated. The details of this mount can be found in Drawing 6, Sheet 1.

12.5 Internal Components

The BattleBot's systems have many internal components that had to be secured inside the body. Two of the most important were the nitrogen tank and buffer tank. The location of the nitrogen tank was kept the same as last year, but the method of securing it was changed. Last year it was enclosed in a box made of aluminum sheet. For this design, we decided to secure it with the bulkheads by pulling it down into a cradle as seen in Figure 12.15.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -



Figure 12.15 – Nitrogen Tank in Cradles

To keep the tank from damaging the bulkheads, the diameter of the cradles was made ¹/₄ inch greater than the tank. This allowed for a rubber lining to fit between the tank and the bulkheads, thus preventing damage.

To pull down in the tank, many different ideas were explored including a split circle that could be bolted around the tank, to securing it with a simple sheet metal strap. It was finally decided that two large pipe clamps, as seen in Figure 12.16, would be wrapped around two bars under the tank, as seen in Figure 12.17, and then over the tank itself. The clamp could then be tightened to secure the tank.



Figure 12.16 – Large Diameter Hose Clamps for Securing Nitrogen Tank

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Figure 12.17 – Nitrogen Tank with Mounting Bars

This was an advantageous design because it was very light and also added stiffness and strength to the bulkheads. The details of these parts can be found in Drawing 6, Sheet 2.

The buffer tank was added to last years design, so no place existed for it in the robot. Using 3-D modeling, space for it was found on the right bulkhead above the chain drives as seen in Figure 12.18.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Figure 12.18 – Buffer Tank Location

This tank presented some problems for mounting because the round tank had to be mounted to the flat surface. Many of the same ideas were explored but a similar design to the nitrogen tank was designed. Two cradles were made from aluminum and screwed to the bulkhead. Then, slits were cut through the bulkhead to allow a hose clamp, similar to those on the nitrogen tank, to pass around the bulkhead and around the tank, thus securing it as seen in Figure 12.19.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Figure 12.19 – Buffer Tank Mounting System

Another important component was the batteries. Two 12 volt batteries were required to power all the motors. Their location was not changed from last year and can be seen in Figure 12.20.

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Figure 12.20 – Battery Mounting Location

The mounting system consisted of sheet metal straps and was deemed satisfactory to leave as is. The chain drives were designed to not interfere with the battery locations.

Finally, the brain of the drive system was the motor speed controller. This box full of electronics took inputs from the transmitter and output voltage to the motors. This controlled both the speed and the steering of the BattleBot. The location of this was not changed but the mounting design was changed slightly. The original design had the bulkheads spaced so the speed controller fit exactly between them. Bolts were screwed through the bulkheads into tapped holes in the speed controller. By using thinner bulkheads, a 1/8 inch gap was created on either side of the speed controller. This space was used to place a rubber washer between the bulkhead and speed controller to attenuate the vibration from shocks. Another washer was also placed under the screw head on the other side of the bulkhead to further reduce energy transfer. This helped to protect the electronics from damage when the BattleBot suffered impacts. The speed controller location can be seen in Figure 12.21.

Team 9 BattleBot

Design Fackage INLY -



Figure 12.21 – Speed Controller Location

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -

13.0 BUDGET ANALYSIS

13.1 BILL OF MATERIALS

Description	Vendor	Part #	Quantity	Price	Extended
10 tooth sprocket, #35 ANSI, 5/8 bore	McMaster	6280K112	2	6.56	13.12
21 tooth sprocket, #35 ANSI, 5/8 bore	McMaster	6280K124	6	12.06	72.36
25 tooth sprocket, #35 ANSI, 5/8 bore	McMaster	6236K22	2	13.40	26.8
10 tooth sprocket, #35, 3/8 unfinished bore	McMaster	6793K117	2	5.38	10.76
19 tooth idler sprocket, #35, 1/2 bore	McMaster	6663K22	2	14.68	29.36
Manually Adjustable Tensioner, 1/2 bore	McMaster	6265K5	2	43.60	87.2
Keys (pkg of 10) 3/16 square, 3/4 long	McMaster	98870A130	р 2k	2.86	5.72
E style retaining rings, 5/8 shaft (pkg 100)	McMaster	98407A140	р 1 k	10.81	10.81
#35 ANSI Roller Chain (2 8-foot pieces)	McMaster	6261K531	16 ft	2.11	33.76
#35 ANSI Roller Chain Connecting Link	McMaster	6261K191	10	0.55	5.5
Chain Break for #25-60 Chain	McMaster	6051K15	1	17.63	17.63
2" x 2" x 1/4", 7.5" Long Steel Angle	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
1" x 1" x 1/8", 2.5" Long Steel Angle	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
1/2" x 1.75", 4" Long Cold Finished Steel Flat	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
3/4" x 2", 3.5" Long Cold Finished Steel Flat	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
5/16" x 2", 3" Long Cold Finished Steel Flat	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
3/8" x 7", 4" Long Cold Finished Steel Flat	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
1/2" Diameter, 9" Long Cold Finished Steel Round	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
1.25" x 2.5", 3" Long Cold Finished Steel Flat	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
Pneumatic Cylinder, 2.5" Bore, 8" Stroke, 250 psi	Vickers	VP10E6CA1FN08000	1	106.71	106.71
2" x 1" x 3/16", 31" Long Steel Channel	Metal Supermarkets	ASTM - A36	1		
NFPA Rod Eye, Small Male Cylinder Attatchment	Vickers	VP60008A or VP60008C	1		
NFPA Eye Bracket, Cylinder Clevis Attatchment	Vickers	VP62008A	1		
				Total	419.73

13.2 FUNDRAISING MONEY DISTRIBUTION

Many parts have already been ordered and received through Dr. Gielisse's Materila Selection Class. One whole set of drive train parts is in, two sheets of Lexan are on the way and there is a \$500+ credit line at MetalSupermarkets as well as \$600 at Grainger. The electrical engineers are working on other fundraising including getting a flight to San Francisco on a private jet. Other local fundraising is behind schedule.

14.0 CONCLUSIONS

The design of the BattleBot is in its final stage and little work is left to be done before production can begin. The calculations and analysis of each individual system is complete. Systems integration of the entire robot is nearly complete, leaving only the lifting arm of the Primary Weapon System waiting to be

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

Design Fackage INLY -

modeled and pieced together. Many of the parts needed for each major sub system of the BattleBot have already been chosen.

Purchasing is ahead of schedule and ordering of parts has already begun for all of the systems. Many of the funds for the parts have already been allocated as well but Fundraising is still behind schedule. The Electrical Engineering students working along side of the Mechanical Engineering Department, however, have been soliciting local businesses within the Tallahassee area for funding.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -

15.0 APPENDICIES

APPENDIX A. SCHEDULE REVISED SCHEDULE

Sep '02		Oct '02	Nov '02	Dec	'02	Jan	i '03	Fe	b '03		Mar	'03	Apr	'03	M	ay '0:	3
1 8 1	5 22 2	29 6 13 20 27	3 10 17 24	1	8 15 22	29 5	12 19 2	26 2	9 16	23	2	9 16 23	30 6	3 13 20 1	27	4 11	1 18 25
														Delive	rabl	les	
Te	earn Bu	uilding Experier	nce														
• 9	/10																
	Cod	e of Conduct															
	A 9/1	9															
	E Eall	Drojast Caopa															
	 9/1 	9															
	5000	Specification	าร														
		10/3															
	0000	Needs Asse	ssment														
		10/3															
		Concept Ge	neration and	Selec	tion												
		▲ 10/3															
		Project P	rocedures ar	nd De	sign Crit	eria											
		▲ 10/10			-												
		Project P	lan WBS Sch	edula	Þ												
		A 10/10	idii, 1120, 001														
		• 10/10	Mids sist De		Daviau												
				siyn	Keview												
			10/31														
			-	M	lidpoint L	Jesigi	n Package	•									
				+ 1	12/3												
			Fall P	ragre	ess Repo	rt											
			11/14	4													
							Spring	Ргоје	ect Sco	pe							
							1/9										
							Spri	ing Pi	roject l	Plan							
							♦ 1/1	6									
								Sp	ring P	rogre	ess	Report 1					
								A 1	/30			0.22 4 5 7 6 20 4 6 4					
									Sn	rina	Mic	Innint Re	view				
										13		-point ru					
									· ▼ 4				or Ma	nual			
												US	CI 1419				
											2020	◆ 3.	20				
												Spring Pi	ogres	ss Repor	t 2		

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -



Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

```
DATE 12/02/02
```

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -



Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

APPENDIX B. CODE OF CONDUCT

- 1. All members are expected to perform actions when assigned and to completeness.
- 2. Members will be self motivated and not require motivation from the group.
- 3. All opinions and ideas will be respected.
- 4. All members will attend and be on time for meetings. If a member can not attend, an email or phone call will be made well in advance to let the group know.
- 5. Deconstructive arguing will not be tolerated.
- 6. No ideas are stupid.
- 7. All members will make the necessary sacrifices to complete deliverables and milestones on time.
- 8. Anything related to the project should be recorded in each member's data book.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

|--|

APPENDIX C. DRIVE TRAIN CALCULATIONS

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

|--|

APPENDIX D. PRIMARY WEAPON SYSTEM CALCULATIONS

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

APPENDIX E. WEIGHT REDUCTION STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -	
--	--

APPENDIX F. DRAWING PACKAGE

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

APPENDIX G. PART SPECIFICATIONS

6265K SERIES

AJUSTO-SCREW TENSIONERS

The Adjusto-Screw, which is "slack-side" mounted, uses a screw to provide precise, easily-adjustable tension. The screw adjustment enables the user to set the precise tension necessary to provide maximum life for the sprocket and chain or belt. This is especially advantageous with heavy chains where slack is normally taken up by hand while making the adjustment. With the Ajusto-Screw, chain take-up and tension setting are both controlled with the screw. Ajusto-screw tensioners are useful on vertical drives. preventing lower sprocket disengagement. Constructed of structural steel (many competitive brands use cast iron), this patented tensioner is available in a wide range of capacities capable of handling up to RC 240 roller chain.

Many drive systems are enclosed, for safety reasons. With conventional tensioners, the enclosure must be removed for drive adjustment. With Ajusto-Screw, tension adjustments can often be made through a hole in the enclosure adjacent the head of the screw, substantially reducing costly maintenance and drive down-time. The screw is adjustable from either end of the tensioner.

Al! tensioners improve drive performance by eliminating whipping and slipping of loose drive chains and belts. They reduce vibration, noise and maintenance and provide additional life to drive components.



Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -





DIMENSIONS II	INCHES
Provide the second second second second	a constant the set

SIZE	A	в	с	D	ε	F	G	26	н	J	к	L	м	р	Wgr.	SUGGESTED
.#0-B	5-7/8	1-1/2	5-1/4	.500	1	2.1/2	9/32	3/8	2-13/16	1-5/16	1-3/8	1-1/4	5/16	3/4	1 LB.	#35, 40, 41*
#1-8	9	2	8-1/8	.875	1-3/4	4-1/2	11/32	1/2	4	1-5/8	1-3/4	1.1/2	1/2	1	3 L.B.	#40, 50, 60*
#2-8	13	3	11-7/8	1.125	2-7/8	6	9/16	3/4	5-11/16	2-5/32	2-7/8	2	5/8	1-1/2	7 LB.	#80, 100, 120*
#3-8	14	4	12-5/8	1.750	3-1/8	6	9/16	3/4	6-1/4	2-3/16	3-1/4	2	3/4	2	13 LB.	#140, 160. 200*
#4-8	22	6	19-3/8	2.500**	6-1/2**	7-1/2	1-1/16	1-1/8	11-3/16	3-11/16	5-5/B	3-1/2	1-1/2	3	50 LB.+Box	#200,240
"Single-ca	rand cha	n For A	nultiple:	trend che	in, use la	rger ten	unoner.		**Va	while no su	iit raquin	d idler.		_		

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Roller Chain Idler Sprockets

The bearings or bushings in these sprockets allow them to rotate freely while controlling chain slack to maintain proper tension and prevent whip. Steel sprockets have precision-cut teeth for longer chain life. Teeth on steel sprockets with plain bronze bearings and with needle bearings are also hardened to reduce sprocket wear. Steel sprockets with plain bronze bearings also have two plain washers and a hardened steel sleeve to protect the bearings. UHMW polyethylene sprockets are self-lubricating, flexible, and resistant to abrasion and impact. Bearing/Bushing Sprockets: Ball bearings are double-sealed and lubricated: bronze bearings/bushings are oil-impregnated; needle bearings have retainers separating the needle rollers to minimize internal friction and wear.



For							
ANSI	Pitch	No. of Teeth	Bore	(0)	(P)		Each
Chain	Pitten	reeur	Dore	00	(0)		Eaci
Steel 5	procket	with Ba	ali Bearin	igs—Styl	01		
25		20				6663K11	\$14.21
35				2.473"		6663K21	14.68
35						6663K22	14.68
35		20	0.640".	259"	0.72"	6663K23+	14.98
41		18	1/2"	3.135"		6663K31	16.53
41	1/2"	.18	N6*	.3.135".	7/16"	6663K32	16.53
40	1/2 [#]	18	1/2*	3.135"	7/16*	6663K41	16.53
40	1/2"	.18	5/6*	.3.135".	3/16"	6663K42	16.53
40			0.640".		0.72"	6663K43+	15.31
50	- Mr	.15	0.640".	3.32"	0.72"	.6663K44 •	17.17
50	No"	.17	1/2*	3.719".	3/16"	6663K51	17.00
50	. %"					6663K52	17.00
60	3/4"	.13	0.640"		0.72"	6663K53+	18.96
60	3/4"	.15	1/2*	.3.979".	3/16"		16.59
60	3/4"		Na*				16.59
80		.12	3/4"		39/64"		27.19
UHMW	/ Polyeti	iylene S	procket (with Met	al Ball I	Bearings—St	tyle 1
40	V2*	17	1/2*	2.98"	.0.72"	6663K101	44.74
40	1/2"	.18	0.635*.	3.14"	0.72"	6663K102	44.74
50	· ***		0.635".		0.72"		48.36
60	3/4"	.15	1/2"	3.98"	0.72"	.6663K106	49.08
60	3/4"	16	0.635"	4.22"	0.72"	6663K107	50.50
80	.1*	12	3/4*	4.33"	0.96"	6663K109	41.98
 Has 	hardene	d teeth					

FOF								
ANSI		No. of	-					
Chain	Pitch	Teeth	Bore	(A)	(B)	(C)		Each
Steel :	Sprock	et with	Plain B	lronze	Bearing	s-Sty	le 1	
35	3/0"	.20	0.506".	.2.59"			.6663K12	\$10.85
41/40.	. V2"		.0.506".	.2.65"			.6663K13	12.54
50	56"		.0.506".	.3.32"			.6663K14	14.60
60	3/4"	.14	0.506".	.3.74"			6663K15	14.98
Steel 3	Sprock	et with	Needle	Bearin	1gs—St	yle 2		
25	. Va"		. ½*	.1.65"	. %	.1%2"	.6663K71	38.67
35	- %r~	13	· 1/2"	.1.75"	%*	.1%a"	.6663K72	43.59
35	· */a"		. 1″	.2.47"		.123/16".	.6663K73	43.59
41	. V2"		. 1″	3.28"	1"	.21/16"	.6663K74	50.92
40	. V2"		. 1″	.3.28"	1"	.21/16"	.6663K75	50.92
50	- %i"		. 1″	3.72"	1"	.2%"	.6663K76	57.28
60	. %4°	.17	. 1″	.4.46"		.2%*	.6663K77	66.55
80	.1"	13	. 1″	.4.66"	1%"	.2%*	.6663K78	79.88
Steel 3	Sprock	et with	Bronze	Bushi	ngs—SI	tyle 2		
35	- Witten	15	· 1/2"	.1.990*	15/16	.1%"	.6663K81	13.98
35	- %"	.21	. %*	.2.713	11/18"	.2%*	6663K82	18.89
41	. V2"	13	. ½ [#]	.2.329	15/16".	.1%16"	.6663K83	19.58
41	. V2"		. 36"	.3.296"	1%s*	.21/16"	.6663K84	24.54
40	. W."	13	· 1/2"	.2.329*	15/16".	.1%16"	.6663K85	19.99
40	· 1/2"	.19	. %*	.3.296"		.2%16"	6663K86	26.06
50	- Mar	.13	1/2"	.2.911"	11/16"	2"	6663K87	21.65
50	5/6"	17	2/6*	3.719	11/14"	2%	6663K88	29.30
60	3/4"	15	7/6"	3.979*	11/14"	21V16"	6663K89	36.88
60	1/4"	17	11/6*	4.462*	19/14"	21/6*	6663K91	49.63
80	1"	.15	11/6"	.5.305*	19/16"	31/2*	6663K92	61.08

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

ANSI Roller Chain Sprockets

K	About Sprockets In order for sprocket and chain to properly mesh, select a sprocket that matches your specific chain numl and pitch. Pitch is the distance from one tooth valley to the next: this is where the centers of chain pins me with the sprocket. For ANSI chain, see pages 882-886. For standard ANSI keyway information, see page 10														
	0	utside D	ameter #	OD) of the	s Sprock	et 14		0	utside Di	ameter (OD) of the	• Sprock	H I		
No. of Teeth	#25, %* Pitch	#35, W Pitch	#40 and #41, W* Pitch	#50. Sir Pitch	#60, %/ Pitch	#80, 1" Pitch	No. of Teeth	#25, W Pitch	#35, W Pitch	#40 and #41, %/ Pitch	#50, %* Pitch	r60, %" Pitch	ASO, 1" Pitch		
9	0.84"	1.26*	1.67*	2.09*	2.51*	3.35"	24	2.05*	3.07*	4.10*	5.12"	6.15*	8.20*		
10	0.92"	1.38*	1.84"	2.30*	2.76*	3.68*	25	2.13*	3.19*	4.26*	5.32"	6.39*	8.52"		
11	1.00*	1.50*	2.00*	2.50*	3.00*	4.01*	26	_	3.31*	4.42*	5.52"	6.63*	8.84"		
12	1.06*	1.63*	2.17*	2.71*	3.25*	4.33*	28	_	3.55"	4.74*	5.92"	7.11*	9.48*		
13	1.17*	1.75*	2.33*	2.91*	3.49*	4.66"	30	2.53"	3.79"	5.06*	6.32"	7.59*	10.11"		
14	1.25*	1.87"	2.49"	3.11"	3.74"	4.98"	32	_	4.03"	5.38"	6.72"	8.07"	10.75"		
15	1.33"	1.99"	2.65"	3.32"	3.98"	5.30"	34	_	_	5.70*	7.12"	8.54"	11.39*		
16	1.41*	2.11"	2.81"	3.52"	4.22*	5.63"	35	2.93"	4.39"	5.86"	7.32"	8.78"	11.71*		
17	1.49*	2.23*	2.98"	3.72*	4.46*	5.95*	36	_	4.51"	6.02"	7.52"	9.02*	12.03*		
18	1.57*	2.35*	3.14"	3.92"	4.70*	6.27*	38	_	_	6.33"	_	9.50"	12.67*		
19	1.65*	2.47*	3.30"	4.12"	4.95*	6.59"	40	3.33*	4.99*	6.65*	8.32"	9.98*	_		
20	1.73*	2.59*	3.46*	4.32"	5.19*	6.91"	42	_	5.23"	6.97*	8.72"	10.46*	13.94*		
21	1.81*	2.71*	3.62"	4.52"	5.43*	7.24*	45	3.73*	5.59"	7.45*	9.31"	11.18"	_		
22	1.89*	2.83*	3.78*	4.72*	5.67*	7.56*	48	_	5.95*	7.93*	9.91"	11.89*	15.86*		
23	1.97*	2.95"	3.94"	4.92*	5.91"	7.88*	60	_	7.38*	9.84"	12.30*	14.76*	19.68*		

Finished-Bore Steel Sprockets



Bores are finished so these sprockets are reach to mount. They have a standard keyway, except 36° and 30° bore sizes. Keyways are on the centerline of both. All include two set screws. Dise sprockets with ANSI single-strand chain. Made of steel. ECONOMIC Presse specify bore size. Standard bore sizes are: 36°, 36°, 36°, 36°, 36°, 17°, 170°, 176°,

					4 000 Bits		E COL DIA - L		0.445 Bits - 1	
No		3/8" PReh-			For #41 Chain	For #40 Chain	East 850 Chain		East 460 Chair	
of .	Bore	r was cour		Bore	For #47 Chain	For #40 chain	Bare		Bere	
Teeth	Range		Each	Range	Each	Each	Range	Each	Range	Each
9	. 76°	-6280K311.	\$6.43	16"- 16"	6280K142.56.82	62806189\$7.17	Wr- Wr., 82808247.	\$9.86	%7-1*	\$10.59
10	36"- 36"	.6280K112	6.56	$M^{*} = M^{*}$	6280K143. 7.17	6280K191. 7.43	WT-17	10.59	%*-1%*6280K102	11.05
11	36"- 34"	6280K113	6.82	194-1994	6280K144. 7.43	62808(192. T.TT	%r-1"	11.05	W-1W-, 6280K103	11.91
12	207 - 34	6280K114	7.04	10- 06-	6280K145. 7.90	62800K193 + 8.51	96°-116°6200K251.	11.46	54"-1916", 6280K104	13.25
13	45 49.	6280K115	7.30	W-1	6280K145. 8.16	62008194. 6.90	We-192	11.91	44*-192*6200K105	14.07
14	-92° - 34°	6280K116	7.30	W-108.	.6280K147 8.64	6200K195. 9.38	4e*-194*62800C253.	12.65	44°-146°6280K106	17.41
15	- 22 - 1 ·	6280K117	7.43	107.1112	6280K148. 9.38	62808196410.12 61908567 10.72	76°-172°82808254.	13.25	NC-194	20.09
17	102.12	6280K119	8.16	165,1167	6280K151 10.72	62806197.10.72	42.1167 62806256	15.68	1,111, 62808108	24.71
18	92 - P	6280K121	8.64	561-152	6280K152.11.46	62808199.11.91	W-1W-52806257	17.41	1"-1"%+	27.26
19	Wr-11	6280K122	9.70	567-1167	6280K153 12.52	6280K211_13.25	W1-1W1 6280K258	19.36	1"-11%+1 62806202	28.45
20	50°-1°	6280K123	10.85	567-1557	6280K15413.99	6280621215.20	W-1W162808259.	21.02	1"-1"%is"	29.80
21	.50*-1*	.6280K124	12.06	%*-1%*	.6280K15515.46	6280K213 16.55	W*-1%*62800261.	25.31	1"-11%is"	33.95
22	- 10" - 1"	-6280K125	13.12	%*-1%*	.6280K156 16.93	6280K21418.15	W*-1%*62800C262	27.39	1"-1"%s"	36.35
23	·92°-1°	-6280K126	13.99	36-192°	.6200K15718.62	6280K21520.09	44°-11/2°62800C263.	29.53	1"-1"%"	37.22
24	-20-11	_6280K127	14.87	W-192	.6280K15820.42	6280K216.21.56	94°-11/2°62800(264.	32.20	1"-1"/iii	39.77
20	No. 134	6236K22	17.00	NC-1102	.0236K20 18.06	6236832 19,70	34-11/2	28.64	17,119,27 6236,32	43.36
28	567-1567	6236K2	19.38	46-107		6236K8 28.68	W-107 6236K17	36.64	1.1190 6236841	58.35
30	56-156	6236K23	16.65	56*-115*	6236K29. 22.26	6236K3326.17	W-1%*6236K43	31.29	1"-1"http://www.6236K53	39.97
32	56-156	6236K3	21.68	57-157		623689	%r-11%r* 6236K18	38.68	1"-1"%u"\$236K48	48.81
34				56"-155".		6236K1133.06	%r-11%n* 6236K19	39.96	1"-11%n"	49.94
35	56-156	_6236K69	18.02	%-1%	6236K3126.68	6236K34 27.92	3/r~115/n° 62368(44	33.84	1"-1"%s"	42.10
36	.967-1967	-6236K4	24.57	267-11/27		6236K1233.94	%°-11%%° 6236821	41.64	1"-20m"	51.77
-30				48175.		0236813			1-206	34.32
40	261-1241	6216K25	20.93	36-1107		6236K35	9/2-11%) C 62368/45	36.59	1°-29%	47.45
45	267-1247	6216K26	22.30	347-1367		6236836 31.29	107-1180- 62368.46	38.73	1"-20%" \$2358.56	53.50
48	10"-110"	6236K6	27.94	34"-115"		6236K15	1"-11%)s"	46.19	1"-20m"	69.25
60	561-1541	6236K27	29,68	%*-1%*		6236K37	%4°-11%6° 62368(47	48.87	1"-291s"	56.77
 Ma 	u, bore :	size is 1°	Max.	bore size	is 1945.					

				1" Pitch, For #80 Chain				
No. of	Bore		No. of	Bore		No. of	Bore	
Teeth	Range	Each	Teeth	Range	Each	Teeth	Range	Each
9	1"-1%"	\$17.89	19	1"-2"he"	\$47.48	30	1%r-2%/m*.	
10	.1"-1\\"	19.68	20	.1"-2Vts"		32	1%*-2%Vm*.	
11	.1"-1%"	21.95	21	1"-2 Vie"		34	.1%"-2%Ve".	
12	.1"-1"%w"6280K132	24.71	22	.1"-2\he"62806(223)		35	1%e*-2%fe*	
13	.1"-1"%is"6280K133	27.26	23	1"-2 Vts"	61.80	36	.1%*-2%∀m*.	6236K72 86.13
14	.1"-1*%e"6280K134	29.99	24	1"-2'Vie"		38	.1%"-2%Vie".	
15	1"-2%te"	32.00	25	1"-2 Yrs"	59.91	42		
16	1"-2'Vie"	34.88	26	1%4"-2%%s"6236865	66.49	48		
17	1"-2%e"	41.78	28	1%4"-2%%6"	70.06	60		
18	1"-2Vw"	44.60						

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -
-----------------------------	----------------------

More About Finished Bore Steel Roller Chain Sprockets



Copylight © 2000 McMaster-Car Supply Company. All rights reserved Page 1 of 6 Document 6200KAC

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

More About Finished Bore Steel Roller Chain Sprockets

	Far #35	Chain,	W Neb			For #41	Chain,	17 Pach			Fer #40				
Ho. of Teeth	Anailable Dore Sizes	00	Longth Through Bore	Hab Dis.		Available Bore Sizes	0D	Longth Through Duro	Hade Diso.		Available Doro Sizon	op	Longth Through Dore	Hab Dia.	
9	M.	1.297	Ŧ	100.	62906111	M. M.	1.67	<i>d</i> t.	116.	6290K142	W, W	1.67	<i>p</i> .	Phc'+	62906309
10	90.W5 W	1.38	w	$r_{2t'}$.	£2904C112	WS WS W	1.841	141	130+	62906(143)	W.W.W	1.MP	ъ.	19ex	62008391
11	W, W, W, W, W	1.52	ъ.	Phc+	62906113	W, W, W, W	2.00	лн.	104.	621016144	W, W, W, W	2.00*	<i>s</i> .	Ph/s	629083192
12	W, W, W	1.63*	Ψr	tila'•	£200K114	W, W, W, W	2.17	ан.	19hr •	6290K145	W.W.W.W. T	2.17	<i>b</i> .	Phe-	62908793
13	W. W. W	1.75	Ŧr	154.	£280K115	W5, W5, W6, W6, P	2.33	16	1957	622016/146	95, 96, 96, 96, P	2.332	<i>9</i> .	1942	62008394
54	W, W, W	1.87	ъr	11/2	62906116	W, W, W, W, W, T, Th	2.49	æ	192	621016147	W, W, W, W, T, TM	2.49*	<i>ø</i> .	17he	62908395
15	W, W, W, W, P	1.99	Ŧŕ	$h_{I}h_{0},$	6290K117	Wr, Wr, Wr, Wr, W, 1967, 1967	2.60	w	1260	6200K 148	196, 567, 186, 187, 19, 196, 1967, 1949	2.66*	ъ.	1942	62908396
16	Wr. Wr. Wr. Wr. 11	2.17	Ŧr	trie.	6290K118	W. W. W. P. IW. IW. The	2.81	w	mc	62006149	W. W. W. P. FR. We. W	2.87	ъ.	r	62008/197
17	W, W, W, W, P	2.29	Ŧŕ	toda,	6290K119	96, 96, 96, 17, 196, 1967, 197	2.98	٢	2%e	6200K151	90, 96, 90, 15, 190, 196, 192	2.99	۲	n.	62908/190
18	Wr. Wr. Wr. Wr. 1*	2.39	Ŧr	1-10-	62904(121	 W. W. P. Phy. Rev. Dir, 196, 1962, 197 	3.14	t	240	6290K 152	 W. W. P. FR. Wet, Wet, Wet, Wet, Wet. 	1.10	۲	194	62008/199
19	W. W. W. W. W. T	247	Ŧŕ	1708*	£290¥(122	W. W. W. P. 196, 1967, The Die, 1967, 1977	3.307	t	2ºhr	6290K153	W. W. W. P. NW. Wer. Wei, Wei, Wer. Wer.	1.30	t.	$n_{t'}$	62908211
20	W. W. W. W. V.	2.59	Ŧr	trans.	£2904(123	W. W. W. P. 196, 196, Dir, Dir, 196, 197	140	t	p_{ℓ}	6290K154	W. W. W. P. FW. Wet, We, We, Wet, We	1.46	Ŧ	$p_{k'}$	62008212
21	W. W. W. W. W. T	2.71	w	2	£290#(124	W. W. W. P. 196, 1967, Dir, Dir, 1967, 197	1.62	t	He	6290K 155	W. W. W. I. NW. We. W. W. We. We.	162	t.	$p_{t'}$	62908213
22	W. W. W. W. V.	2.83*	w	7	£2904(125	W. W. W. P. 196, 196, Dir, 196, 196, 197	1.78	t	ŀ	6290K 156	W. W. W. P. FW. Wet, We, We, Wet, We	1.78	۲	$p_{k'}$	62008214
23	W. W. W. W. 11	2.967	w	2	£290¥(126	W. W. W. P. Phy. Rev. Dir, 107, 1052, 105	191	t	Вv	6200K 15 J	W. &; W. I. FW. We, We, We, We, We	1947	t.	3.	62008215
24	Wr. Wr. Wr. Wr. 1*	3.07	w	2	62904(127	16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16: 16:	4.10	t	\mathbf{n}	6290K 158	We, We, We, We, We,	4.10	۲	16.	62008216
25	W. W. W. W. W. 11	3.19	w	7	k256K22	W. W. W. P. Dir, Dir, Dir, Dir, Dir, Dir,	4.28	t'	Br	6236628	W. &: W. P. Fit, We, We, We, We, We,	4.267	۲	16	6236K32
100-00	mentand among in his last des ek-														

Continued Page 2 of 6

Document 6280KAC

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -



For information about sprocket OD and pitch, see page 892.

For the length through bore as well as hub diameter for the sprockets on this page, click on the Additional Information links below.

Plain Bore Steel Sprockets



You can machine these plain bore sprockets to fit your application. They do not include keyways or set screws. Use with ANSI single-strand chain. Minimum bore size is the furnished size; you can enlarge bore to the maximum bore size.

No. of	For Bore	#35 Size	Chain, ¾ Pitch	For #40 Chain, 1/4 Bore Size	Pitch	For #50 Chain, %/ Bore Size	Pitch	For #60 Bore Size	Chain, ¾ Pitch
Teeth	Min.	Max.	Each	Min. Max.	Each	Min. Max.	Each	Min. Max.	Each
9 10 11 12 13	3/6" 3/6" 3/6" 3/6" 	%8" %16" %16" %16" 11/16	6793K116\$5.04 6793K1175.38 6793K1185.52 6793K1195.79 7 6793K1216.00	½"	8\$6.28 16.41 27.03 37.52 47.66	%6"	\$8.55 9.38 10.00 10.42 	$\frac{3/4^{''}}{3/4^{''}}$, $\frac{3/6^{''}}{1^{''}}$, $\frac{1^{''}/6^{''}}{1^{''}}$, $\frac{3/4^{''}}{1^{''}}$, $\frac{1^{''}/6^{''}}{1^{''}}$, $\frac{3/4^{''}}{1^{''}}$, $\frac{1^{''}/6^{''}}{1^{''}/2^{''}}$, $\frac{3/4^{''}}{1^{''}}$, $\frac{1^{''}/2^{''}}{1^{''}/2^{''}}$.	.6793K211\$9.52 .6793K21210.27 .6793K21310.90 .6793K18711.65 .6793K18812.69
14 15 16 17 18	V* V* V* V*	%a". %a". 15⁄1a 1 Via" 1 ∀ia"	6793K1226.28 6793K1236.41 * 6793K1246.76 6793K1256.76 *.6793K1256.76	Vr"1Vr"6793K14 Vr"1Vr"6793K14 Vr"1Vr"6793K14 Vr"1Vr"6793K14 Vr"1Vr"6793K14	5 7.66 6 7.66 7 8.00 8 9.38 910.00	\[\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\]\		$\frac{3/4''}{3/4''}$, $\frac{13/4''}{12/6''}$, $\frac{3/4''}{2''}$, $\frac{2''}{2''}$, $\frac{3/4''}{2''}$, $\frac{2^{1}/4''}{2''}$, $\frac{2^{1}/4''}{2''}$, $\frac{3/4''}{2''}$, $\frac{2^{1}/4''}{2''}$, $\frac{3}{4''}$, $\frac{2^{1}/6''}{2''}$, $\frac{3}{4''}$, $\frac{3}{4'''}$, $\frac{3}{4'''}$, $\frac{3}{4'''}$, $\frac{3}{4''''}$, $\frac{3}{4'''''}$, $\frac{3}{4''''''}$, $\frac{3}{4'''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''$.6793K18913.66 .6793K19115.79 .6793K19217.79 .6793K19318.69 .6793K19419.93
19 20 21 22 23	Υ" Υ" Υ" Υ"	11/4". 15/16" 13%". 13%". 13%".	6793K1277.24 6793K1288.00 6793K1298.55 6793K1319.52 6793K13210.27	"	111.38 213.03 314.41 415.79 517.38	%6"2"6793K174 ¾4"2"6793K175 ¾4"2"6793K176 ¾4"2"6793K177 ¾4"2"6793K177 ¾4"2"6793K177	15.79 17.66 19.17 20.55 21.93	3/4"	.6793K19522.69 .6793K19624.28 .6793K19725.04 .6793K19826.97 .6793K19927.79
24 25 30 35 40 45 60	Υ' Υ' Υ' Υ' Υ' Υ'	1%8". 1%6". 1%6". 1%2". 1%2". 1%2". 1%2".	6793K13310.90 6793K13411.65 6793K13513.17 6793K13615.04 6793K13716.41 6793K13818.07 6793K13925.93	%" 2%" 6793K15 %" 2%" 6793K15 %" 2%" 6793K15 %" 2%" 6793K15 %" 2%" 6793K16 %" 2%" 6793K16 %" 2%" 6793K16 %" 2%" 6793K16 %" 2%" 6793K16	618.28 719.17 822.69 924.28 126.90 227.79 333.31	%a"	23.17 25.04 27.79 30.55 33.45 35.72 46.62	¾4"	.6793K20130.07 .6793K20232.34 .6793K20336.97 .6793K20439.24 .6793K20545.24 .6793K20551.72 .6793K20772.55

Team 9 BattleBot

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package	REV -

More About Plain Bore Steel Roller Chain Sprockets



	ľ	or #35 Cl	hein, 🍕	° Pitch	For #40 Chain, 12' Pitch				F	w #50 Ct	nin, 🖘	Pitch	Fe	Pitch		
No. of Teeth	OD	Length Through Bore	Hub Dia.		OD	Length Through Bore	Hub Dia.		00	Length Through Bore	Hub Dia.		OD	Length Through Bore	Hub Dia.	
9	1.26*	×.	21/10**	6793K116	1.67*	1/14	1%**	6793K208	2.09*	1*	13%*•	6793K209	2.51*	157	1%6*•	6793K211
10	1.38*	14°	31/ ₅₂ *•	6793K117	1.84"	161	11/1*	6793K141	2.30*	1*	1%**	6793K164	2.76*	1%	1ºfu'	6793K212
11	1.50'	w.	1Va*•	6793K118	2.00*	W	147.	6793K142	2.50*	1*	147•	6793K165	3.00*	1%	2¥6*•	6793K213
12	1.63'	14°	172**	6793K119	2.17°	W	1951**	6793K143	2.71*	1*	1456414	6793K166	3.25*	192	2%**	6793K187
13	1.75*	×.,	1964	6793K121	2.33*	161	1954°	6793K144	2.91*	14	1%*	6793K167	3.49*	192	2*Va2*	6793K188
14	1.87'	×.,	1141	6793K122	2.49*	161	$1^{j} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mu}$	6793K145	3.11'	12	ZW	6793K168	3.74"	192	2%н″	6793K189
15	1.99'	44	11541	6793K123	2.65*	W	$p \in \mathbf{R}_{n}$	6793K146	3.32"	14	Z46°	6793K169	3.98"	192	Z%s*	6793K191
16	2.11'	***	11%33*	6793K124	2.81*	W	2'	6793K147	3.52"	14	ZW	6793K171	4.22"	192	3¥6″	6793K192
17	2.23'	***	$1^{10} \mathrm{Su}^{2}$	6793K125	2.98"	1.	Z₩	6793K148	3.72"	14	Z™te*	6793K172	4.46'	197	3%*	6793K193
18	2.35*	ж.	$1^{29}M^*$	6793K126	3.14*	1*	2%6*	6793K149	3.92"	1*	2%*	6793K173	4.70*	1%	3%*	6793K194
19	2.47*	×.	$1^{10}/m^*$	6793K127	3.30*	1*	21/2	6793K151	4.12*	1*	3"	6793K174	4.95*	1%	3%*	6793K195
20	2.59*	14°	$10\%^{*}$	6793K128	3.46*	1*	2%*	6793K152	4.32"	1*	3"	6793K175	5.19*	1%	3%*	6793K196
21	2.71*	Ψ	2*	6793K129	3.62*	1*	244	6793K153	4.52*	1*	3"	6793K176	5.43*	1%	47	6793K197
22	2.83*	\mathcal{W}	2*	6793K131	3.78*	1*	2%*	6793K154	4.72*	1*	3"	6793K177	5.67*	1%	47	6793K198
23	2.95*	Ψ.	2*	6793K132	3.94"	1*	3*	6793K155	4.92*	1*	3"	6793K178	5.91*	1%	4"	6793K199
24	3.07*	Υ.	2*	6793K133	4.10*	1*	31//	6793K156	5.12*	152	3"	6793K179	6.15*	1%	47	6793K201
25	3.19*	Ψ.	2*	6793K134	4.26*	1*	3₩	6793K157	5.32*	1%*	3"	6793K181	6.39*	1%	47	6793K202
30	3.79*	ν.	2*	6793K135	5.06*	1*	3₩	6793K158	6.32*	1%*	314*	6793K182	7.59*	1%	47	6793K203
35	4.39*	\mathcal{W}	21/4*	6793K136	5.86*	1*	3W	6793K159	7.32*	157	314*	6793K183	8.78*	1%	4"	6793K204
40	4.99*	1*	214*	6793K137	6.65*	1\%*	3₩*	6793K161	8.32"	154	3.M.	6793K184	9.98*	117	654	6793K205
45	5.59*	1*	2%4*	6793K138	7.45*	1%*	3₩*	6793K162	9.31*	1%*	3%*	6793K185	11.18*	197	454	6793K206
60	7.38*	1*	2%4*	6793K139	9.84"	1%*	3₩*	6793K163	12.30"	1%*	3%*	6793K186	14.76*	197	454	6793K207

Has recessed groove in hub for chain clearance.

MANASTER-CARR

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

Machine Keys

Made from plain C1018 steel. Tolerances: Undersized: -.002"; Oversized: +.002". Length toler-ance is -.030" Tensile strength is 82.000 psi. Rockwell hardness is B85.

Keys with square ends are fur-nished in a package of 10.

Lg.	Per	Pkg.
Square Ends—Unders	sized	
1/8" Square		
98870A100	\$	2.38
1"		2.38
11/4"		2.38
3/16" Square		
1/2"		2.86
3/4"		2.86
1"		2.86
11/4"		2.86
11/2"		2.86
1%4"		2.86
2"		3.33
21/z"		3.33
1/4" Square		
%4"		3.33
1"		3.33
1¼"98870A215		3.33
11/2"		3.33
1%4"		3.33
2"		3.81
21/4"		4.29
21/2"		4.29
3"		4.76
5/16" Square		
1'/4"98870A275		3.81
11/2"98870A277		3.81
2"		4.29
21/2"		5.24
3/6" Square		
1"/4"98870A287		4.76
1 1/2"		5.24
2		5.71
21/2"		6.67
1/2" Square		
11/2"		7.14
2		8.10
21/2"	1	0.00
Square Ends—Oversi	zed	
1/8" Square		
Vz*		3.33
-4"		3.33
1"		3.33
3/16" Square		
1/2"		3.81
¥4"		3.81



Rounded End

Lg. P	er Pkg.
Square Ends-Oversized	(Cont.)
3/16" Square (Continued)	
1 98870A340	\$3.81
11// 98870A355	3.81
116" 988700365	3.81
13/2 099704305	2.01
28 00070A370	3.01
2	4.29
1/4" Square	
%*98870A385	4.29
1"98870A395	4.29
11/4"	4.29
11/2"	4.29
1¾"98870A420	4.29
2" 98870A430	4.29
21/4" 98870A435	4.76
21/5" 98870A440	4.76
34" Sauaro	4.10
1" 988704450	4.76
11/2 999708455	4.76
11/4	4.70
1%7	D.24
2	5.24
21/4"98870A470	5.71
2%4"98870A475	6.19
1/2" Square	
1"	5.71
1%"98870A485	7.62
2"	8.10
Lg.	Each
Rounded Ends-Oversiz	red
3/4d" Senjaro	
15" 988704560	\$1.10
3/2" 988704570	1 1 4
116 999704575	1.24
3// Saugeo	1.24
1" 92970A590	1 20
11/2 000704505	1.30
1%	1.43
11/2"	1.48
2	1.67
21/2"	1.76
1/2" Square	
1"	1.86
2"98870A610	2.19
21/2"	2.29
3". 98870A620	2.48
31/2" 98870A625	2.76

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -

E-Style Retaining Rings

Snap rings directly into groove from the side of the shaft.



Zinc-Plated Steel. Click here for detailed dimensions on

Stainless Steel.

For Shaft Dia.	<i>Fits Gi</i> Dia.	r <i>oove</i> Wd.	ı Pkg. Qty.	Zinc S	<i>Plat</i> teel Per	t ed Pkg.	i Pkg. Qty.	Type PH Stainl	/ 15- ess S Pe	7 MO Steel r Pkg.
1/16".	.0.052"	0.012"	100	98407A	112	\$2.28	100	98408A1	125	\$12.73
3/32"	0.074"	0.020"	100	98407A	114.	2.34	100	98408A1	14	13.03
7/64"	0.079"	0.020"	100	98407A	115.	2.56	100	98408A1	15 ♦	17.49
1/8"	.0.095"	0.020"	100	98407A	116.	2.44	100	98408A1	16	13.91
⁹ /64″.	.0.102"	0.020"	100	98407A	117	2.75	100	98408A1	17	13.91
5/32".	.0.116"	0.029"	100	98407A	119.	2.89	100	98408A1	19 ♦	16.11
11/64"	0.127"	0.029"	100	98407A	121	3.33	100	98408A1	21 ♦	16.41
3/16"	.0.147"	0.029"	100	98407A	118	3.33	100	98408A1	18 🔶	16.57
7/32"	0.188″	0.029"	100	98407A	122	3.69	50	98408A1	22	10.43
1/4"	.0.210"	0.029"	100	98407A	120	4.33	50	98408A1	20	11.38
5/16″.	.0.250"	0.029"	100	98407A	132.	4.14	50	98408A1	32	11.26
³ /8″	.0.303"	0.039"	100	98407A	134	5.60	10	98408A1	34	3.43
7/16″.	.0.343"	0.039"	100	98407A	136	6.40	10	98408A1	36	3.91
1/2″	.0.396"	0.046"	100	98407A	138	8.41	10	98408A1	38	4.81
5/8″	.0.485″	0.046"	100	98407A	140	10.81	10	98408A1	40	6.77
3/4"	.0.625"	0.056"	50	98407A	152	14.62	10	98408A1	52	11.14
7/8″	.0.675″	0.056"	50	98407A	154	10.30	1	98408A1	54×	1.45
1″	.0.835"	0.056"	50	98407A	156.	14.51	_			
1³/16″.	1.079"	0.068"	25	98407A	158	7.91	1	98408A1	58 *	2.70
1³/8″	.1.230"	0.068"	25	98407A	160	11.64	_			
★ Solo	d indivi	dually.					_			

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02 DOCUMENT NAME Design Package REV -

Zinc-Plated Steel E-Style External Retaining Rings







Shaft Diameter and Groove Size

Free Diameter, Thickness, and Free Outside Diameter

Clearance Diameter

	Shaft D	Vianseter		Gr	eore Size				Ring Si		Clearance Dia.		
	Dec.	Frac.	Diameter			Wath		Free	Free Diameter		kness	Free Outside Diameter	Released In Groove
	Ds	Ds	Dg Toleranco		W Tolerance		D	Df	Df Toleranco		Tolerance	OD	L2
98407A132	0.312"	%e'	0.250*	+0.063"-8.000"	0.029*	+0.003"-0.000"	0.831*	0.243*	+8.902"-0.004"	0.025'	*0.002*	0.500	0.520*
98407A134	0.3757	w	0.3237	+0.003'-8.000'	0.039'	+0.003'-0.000"	0.136*	0.300*	+1.002'-0.004'	0.035	+0.002*	0.669	0.600*
98407A136	0.4387	ъс.	0.3437 +0.0037-8.0007		0.0397	+0.003"-0.000"	0.947*	0.337"	0.337 +8.902"-0.004"		+0.002*	0.687*	0.710
98407A138	0.500*	Ψ	0.396*	0.3% +0.007-8.000*		+0.002'-0.000"	0.052	0.392*	0.392° =8.002°-0.004°		*0.002*	0.800	0.920*
98407A140	0.6257	**	0.485*	+0.063"-8:000"	0.046"	+0.003"-0.000"	6.830*	0.489*	+8.903'-0.005'	0.042"	+0.002*	0.941*	0.960*
98407A152	0.750*	**	0.625*	+0.083"-8.000"	0.056"	+0.003"-0.000"	0.062*	0.616*	+8.903'-0.005'	0.050"	+0.002*	1.000*	1.020*
98407A154	0.8757	w	0.6757	+0.063"-8.000"	0.056"	+0.003"-0.000"	0.100*	0.669	+8.003'-0.005'	0.059"	+0.002*	1.309"	1.320'
98407A156	1.000/	٣	0.8357	+0.003"-8:000"	0.056"	+0.003"-0.000"	0.982*	0.812'	+8.903"-0.005"	0.050	*0.002"	1.500	1.5307
98407A158	1.168*	1264	1.079*	+0.005'-8.000'	0.068*	+0.004'-0.000"	0.0581	1.056*	+8.906'-0.018'	0.062*	*0.003*	1.628	1.670*
98407A160	1.3757	1W	1.230*	+0.005'-8:000'	0.0687	+0.004'-0.000"	0.872	1.213*	+8.906'-0.018'	0.062"	*0.003	1.875	1.920

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

Design Package REV -



Steel Roller Chain

Single Strand Riveted Roller Chain









McMASTER-CARR

This durable roller chain is the workhorse of drive chain. All chain is offered in 1-ft. increments up to 10 ft., as well as in 20-, 50-, and 100-ft. lengths (except for the heavy single-strand chain which is not available in 100-ft. lengths). The 1-ft. through 20-ft. lengths include for connecting links, and 100-ft. lengths include for connecting links. The operation of the chain has thick side plates that provide a higher load capacity. Cottler-pier roller chain has a cotter-pin construction that makes assembly and disassembly in the field easier than with riveted chain.

ANSI No.	Pitch (A)	Dia. (E)	Wd. (C)	Working Load, lbs.	Tensile Strength, Ibs.		Per 1-9	Ft. 10-Up	Connect	ing Each	Rolle	Each	Offset Each
Riveted	Roller	Chain											
Single 5	Strand												
25 🔶		0.130°.	· 16"	140	1.050	6261K107	\$3.05	\$2.54	6261K108_	\$0.66	6261K106_	\$0.40	6261K105\$1.35
35 .	36"	0.200*	- "He"	480	2.400	6261K531	2.56	2.11	6261K191_	.55	6261K241_	.45	6261K261 1.21
41*	W	0.306*	- 19°	. 500	. 2,600	6261K532	2.72	2.27	6261K192	.85	6261K242	.51	6261K262 1.68
40	<u>W</u>	.0.312".	- "m"	. 810	. 4.300	6261K533	2.97	2.46	6261K193_	.62	6261K243	.51	6261K263 1.36
50		0.400*.	- 10°	1,400	. 1,200	6261K534	3.81	3.07	6261K194.	.72	6261K244.	.72	62618264. 1.64
60		0.469"	- W	1.950	. 10.000	6261K535	4.92	4.13	6261K195_	.98	6261K245_	1.02	6261K265 2.26
80		0.625*	- 96°	3.300	. 17.700	6261K536	8.92	8.11	6261K196_	1.74	6261K246	1.77	6261K266 3.60
100	156	0.750*	- 3°	5.060	. 26, 200	6261K137	14.73	13.35	6261K181_	2.62	6261K211	2.87	6261K271 6.04
120	152		- T	. 6.800	. 37, 700	6261K138	21.55	19.60	6261K182	4.28	6261K212.	4.89	6261K272 9.34
140	<u>199</u> °	.1.000*.	- T	9.000	.48,800	6261K139	26.35	23.95	6261K185	5.72	62616213	1.43	6261KZ7312.85
160	Et and	1.125	197	. 11.900	.62,200	6261K141	32.12	29,19	6261K169.	8.00	62616214.	10.49	9291827417.77
25.24	Science	0.000	10.00	810	4.920	00018711	7.00	0.00	00018/001	1.45	ana Mana	6.2	4001Waat 2.18
40.2	1.0	0.210	50.0	1 3 70	8.600	6261K712	7.56	6.87	6261K223	1.51	6261K832	1.07	4201K842 3.33
50.2		0.4007		2 380	14,400	6261K713	9.90	9.00	6261K224	1.66	6261K833	1.51	6261K641 3.98
60-2	347	0.4657	107	3.315	20.000	6261K714	13.33	12.09	6261K225	2.32	6261K014	2.13	6261K844 5.60
10-2	11	0.6257	347	5.610	15.400	6261K715	21.47	19.50	6261K226	1.41	6261K815	1.69	6261K845 0.75
100-2	136	0.750"	34"	6.600	52.400	6261K91	15.16	29.37	6261K922	6.53	6261K924	6.00	6261K921.15.31
Triple S	trand												
40.3	t. W.	.0.312".	- Mar	2.025	12.900	6261K74	14.18	11.95	6261K752.	2.58	6261K754	1.60	6261K753 5.31
50-3	t. %*	0.400*	36"	3.500	.21.600	6261K76	16.79	14.13	6261K722	2.80	6261K724	2.27	6261K723 6.22
60-3	t., Mr.,	.0.469*	1/2"	4.875	. 30.000	6261K78	23.06	19.42	6261K762	3.84	6261K764_	3.20	6261K763 8.64
80-3	t1"	0.625*	- Nr	8.250	.53,100	6261K79	34.54	29.08	6261K717.	6.02	6261K719	5.53	6261K71813.69
Heavy 3	Single S	trand											
50H	W	0.400".	- %°	. 1.400	. 7,800	7265K4	8.60	7.12	7265K424	1.58	7265K426	1.38	7265K425 3.38
60H			- 10°	2,000	. 12,300	12658.5	1.12	5.89	72658.524	1.44	7265K526	1.31	72658525. 3.11
10H.	-11	.0.625*	- 36	3.400	20,200	T265K6	12.04	9.95	7265K624	2.20	7265K626	2.20	72658.625. 4.87
100H.	- 136 -	.0.750*	- 24'	5.200	. 30,800	126567	19.70	16.31	72606724	3.93	1265K726	0.44	72658,7258.24
1401	1100	.0.0751	- T	0.300	41,000	120060	20.31	23.43	72006424	6.07	1200 6020	10.04	72008.02512.73
Cotter.I	in Dal	or Chai		9.200	. 3 4. 200	120363	14.50	60.31	reportant.	3.63	12001020	10.20	12030406320.35
Sinala	Strand	or course											
	1"	0.6257	201	3,300	17.700	2122K1	11.77	10.19	6261K196	1.74	6261K246	1.77	6261K266 3.60
100	136	0.750"	34"	5.060	26,200	2122K2	17.65	15.30	6261K181	2.62	6261K211	2.87	6261K271 6.04
120	1352	0.875*	1*	6.800	37.700	2322K3	24.60	21.13	6261K182	4.28	6261K212	4.89	6261K272 9.34
140	136*	1.000*	1"	9.000	48.800	2322K4	30.34	25.94	6261K183	5.72	6261K213	7.43	6261K273. 12.85
160		.1.125*	156	11.900	62.200	2322K5	38.47	32.66	6261K184	8.00	6261K214	10.49	6261K27417.77
Double	Strand												
80-2.	1"	.0.625*.	- 34°	5.610	.35,400	2322K6	26.55	23.15	6261K226	3.43	6261K835.	3.69	6261K845 8.78
100-2.		.0.750*	36*	8.600	52,400	2322K7	40.55	35.06	6261K922	6.53	6261K924	6.00	6261K92315.31
120-2.	11/2	.0.875*	· 1'	. 11,560	.75,400	2322K8	56.94	48.87	2322K21	10.16	6261K212	4.89	2322K3122.27
140-2.		1.000*	- 1"	15,300	.97,600	2322K9	69.64	59.38	2322K22	13.82	6261K213	7.43	232283229.82
160-2		.1.125*	. 194°	20.230	62.200	2322K11	86.47	73.21	2322K23	19.02	6261K214	10.49	2322K3341.25
 Roller 	less chi	in. + E	fas thin	ner plates	than No. 40 a	nd is lighter	in weig	nt.	two are requ	ited to	connect ch	an.	

Warring Do not use the chain on this page for lifting applications or for moving people.

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package REV -

Motor Schematic



Industrial Speciality Gas Cylinders

Specifications

Sales Part Number	Sen Pres pri	vice sure bar	Cap N suff	acity &	Cap	acity)z liters	Out Dian	side neter mm	Ov Ler	igth	Em Wei	pty ight ka	Min, Interna Volume cain livra	i Min. We bs	Water light kg	Thread Size
8004	2216	152	3.7	103.4	4.0	114.1	3.21	8141	9.8	229.6	19	0.8	45 0.7	1.53	8.70	0.150-16 UNE-20
NHOE	2216	153	5.3	158.7	5.8	164.5	3.25	81.41	11.8	298.0	2.2	1.0	62 1.0	2.24	1.01	0.753-16UNF-28
8880.7	20fiii	139	6.8	193.7	7.4	209.6	4.38	111.13	9.2	233.2	3.8	1.4	87 1.4	3.16	142	0.753-16 UNF-28
808019	2018	139	8.1	229.4	8.8	248.4	4.38	111.13	10.8	269.9	3.7	17	183 1.7	3.71	1.68	0.110-16-UNE-28
8011	2015	139	10.9	307.3	11.r	332.5	4.59	111.13	13.8	310.9	4.2	2.1	136 2.3	4.90	2.26	0.150-16 UNF-20
NH22	2216	152	20.9	591.9	22.9	Gtr.1	5.25	123.35	11.1	436.1	8.8	41	246 4.0	8.80	3.99	0.150-50 UNF-28, 0.150-16 NGT, 1.125-12 UNF-28
8627	2216	153	25.3	716.5	27.6	182.2	5.25	138.35	20.2	513.5	10.4	47	295 4.8	1064	4.83	1.125-12 UNF-28
NH 33	2216	153	30.9	875.1	35.7	954.4	6.89	175.14	15.6	395.9	14.5	33	360 5.9	12.90	1.89	0.150-36 UNF-28, 0.250-14 INGT, 1.125-12 UNF-28
8842	2216	163	44.2	1212.0	48.2	1301.6	5.25	133.35	33.1	841.5	16.8	72	s1s 8.4	18.57	8.42	8.758-14 NGT
801440	2216	ts2	55.R	1980.0	60.9	1:23.6	7.26	194.15	23.5	596.9	22.6	18.2	660 107	23-44	10.63	0.158-141NGT, 5.526-52 UNF-28, N25 x 2
896.05	2216	th2	82.4	2203-E	89.9	2648.7	7.25	194.15	32.8	#3a.0	- 30.7	13.9	960 faz	3462	15.78	0.110-16 NGT, 1.121-12 UNF-28
N122	2216	153	111.0	3105.0	121.9	3452.5	8.00	203.20	36.3	\$22.3	40.8	11.1	1382 21.3	46.95	21.30	0.750-14 PGT, 1.125-12 UNF-28
N150	2016	139	141.5	4087.6	155.2	4338.3	8.00	203-20	418	1214.5	-40.1	21.0	9000 29:5	64.91	29.44	0.150-1419GT, 1.525-52 UNE-28
NISS	1800	124	144.3	4086.6	194.8	4383.4	8.60	218.44	46.3	117E7	50.6	22.9	2040 32.4	73.96	33.37	1.125-12 UNE-28
1200	3000	20r	1/8.2	50872	280.2	9000.9	8.60	218.66	40.7	1034.5	61.6	28.3	1:80 26.1	87.32	26.00	0.110-16 NGT, 1.121-12 UNE-28
N288	2216	163	243.0	6581.8	286.1	7105.9	9.80	248.82	11.5	1319.8	87.8	38.6	2631 46.4	102.08	46.30	0.190 14 NGT, 1.129 12 UNE-28

3016 Kansas Avenue, Riverside, CA 92507 USA, Tel: (909) 684 5110 or (800) 764 0366 Fax: (909) 781 6598



Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02

4/2

SERIES

8344





Features

- Sturdy, solid construction.
- · Piston-operated poppet design provides high flow.
- Can use air or water for piloting control valves.
- · Wide range of sizes and flow rates.
- · Single or dual solenoid construction.
- Dual solenoid can be shifted with a momentary signal and remain in position even if electrical power is lost.
- Mountable in any position.

Construction

Valve Parts in Contac	t with Fluids
Body	Brass
Seals and Disc	NBR
Core Tube	305 Stainless Steel
Core and Plugnut	430F Stainless Steel
Springs	302 Stainless Steel and 17-7PH Stainless Steel
Shading Coll	Copper
Pilet Seat Cartridge and Disc-Holder	CA
Shaft Gasket	Lead/Copper

Electrical

	Wa	tt Rati Com	ng and P sumption	ower	Spare Coil Part No.							
Coil and			AC									
Class of Insulation	DC Watts	Watts	VA Holding	VA Inrush	Ceneral	Purpose	Explosionproof					
F	10.6	6.1	16	30	238210	238310	238214	238314				
F	11.6	10.1	25	50	238510	238710	238614	238714				
F	22.6	17.1	40	70	238510	238710	239614	238714				

Bual Selenoid Operation: Minimum coil on-time for dual selenoid valves is 0.3 seconds on air service and 1.0 seconds on liquids.

Caution: Do not energize both solenoids simultaneously. Refer to Engineering Section for details.

Standard Voltages: 24, 120, 240, 480 volts AC, 60 Hz (or 110, 220 volts AC, 50 Hz), 6, 12, 24, 120, 240 volts DC. Must be specified when ordering. Other voltages are available when required.

Solenoid Enclosures

Standard: Watertight, Types 1, 2, 3, 3S, 4, and 4X. Optional: Explosionproof and Watertight, Types 3, 3S, 4, 4X, 6, 6P, 7, and 9. (To order, add prefix "EF" to the catalog number.) See Optional Features Section for other available options.





Nominal Ambient Temperature Ranges:

AC: 32'F to 125'F (0'C to 52'C) DC: 32'F to 104'F (0'C to 40'C) Refer to Engineering Section for details.

Approvals:

CSA certified. Meets applicable CE directives.

Important:

A Minimum Operating Pressure Differential must be maintained between the pressure and exhaust ports. Supply and exhaust piping must be full area, unrestricted. ASCO flow controls and other similar components must be installed in the cylinder lines only. **DATE** 12/02/02

DOCUMENT NAME

SERIES 8344



Speci	Specifications (English units)															
Pier	Orifice	Cv F Fax	low dor			Operation Max. AC	ng Pressure Di	Morential (psi) Max. DC		Max. Fluid Temp. 'F		Brass B	lody	Watt Rating/ Class of Call Insulation	
Size (ins.)	Size (ins.)	P1HIS.	Ext.	Min.	Air-Inerl Gas	Water	L1, 011-8 300 SSU	Air-Inert Gas	Water	LL OIL 8 300 SSU			Calalog Number	Canstr. Ref. No.	AC	DC
(SINGLE SOLENOID																
16	14	. 30	1.0	11	150	125	120	125	125	125	190	150	134670	1	10.1/F	11.6F
1/4	14		1.0	10	254 8	250 @	250 @	250 @	250 @	250 0	190	180	834400	1	17.1/F	22.6F
38	36	1.4	2.2	11	150	125	125	125	125	125	190	150	83446/2	2	10.1/F	11.6F
38	14	.80	1.0	11	254 0	250 @	250 0	250 @	250 @	250 0	190	180	834461	1	17.1/F	22.6F
10	- 36	1.4	2.2	11	150	125	125	125	125	125	190	150	8344674	2	10.1/F	11.6F
12	38	1.4	2.2	11	250 ©	250 e	290 @	250 @	250 ©	250 0	190	180	8344627	2	17.1/F	22.6F
34	34	5.2	5.6	10	150	125	125	125	125	125	190	150	8344676	3	10.1/F	11.6F
34	34	5.2	5.6	10	254 8	250 @	250 ®	250 @	250 ©	250 0	190	180	\$344629	3	17.1/F	22.6F
1	34	5.2	5.6	11	150	125	125	125	125	125	190	150	1344518	3	10.1/F	11.6F
1	34	5.2	5.6	10	258 B	250 ⊕	250 @	250 ©	250 ©	250 0	190	180	1344631	3	17.1/F	22.6F
DUML SO	LENGIO-0															
16	- 14		1.0	11	250	200	125	125	125	100	190	120	13454	4	6.1/F	10.64
38	38	-14	2.2	11	250	200	125	125	125	100	190	120	13-14580	3	6.17	10.6F
38	3/8	1.4	2.2	11	300	300	200	-	•		190	•	13-44620	7	10.1/F	-
1/2	3/8	1.4	22	10	250	200	125	125	125	100	190	120	8344682	6	6.1/F	10.6/F
34	34	5.2	5.6	10	300	300	200	125	125	100	190	120	8344624	8	10.1/F	10.6/F
1	34	5.2	5.6	11	300	300	200	125	125	100	190	120	8344626	8	10.1/F	10.6/F
Notes: 0) 25 pti (1 For best i	.7 bar) i visults, c	minim u to not u	na oa ligt sa valve	tt oll service. rated 290 psi	(17 bar) o	 On 50 hertz mainline pres 	service, the ways of less the	alt rating t an 125 ps	lor the 6.1.4 s i (9 bar).	alen sid	68.	V1825.			

Specifications (Metric units)

Pise	Orifice	Ke F Factor	low (m2/h)			Operatio Max. Al	ig Pressure Di	illerential (ba	r) Max. DC		Max. Tem	Fluid p.'C	Brass Body		Well Rating / Class of Coll Insutation	
Size (ins.)	Stre (mm)	Press.	Eth.	Min.	Air-Ineri Gas	Water	11. OH 49 300 SSU	Air-Inert Gas	Water	L1. 06 @ 308 \$\$U	AC	DC	Catalog Number	Constr. Rel. No.	AG	00
SHGLESOLINOID																
14	6	.63	.86	0.7	10	9	9	9	9	9	11	68	8344G70	1	10.1/F	11.6F
14	6	63	.86	0.7	17 B	17 @	17 @	17 @	17-B	17 @	11	81	134460	1	17.10	22.6F
18	10	1.2	1.89	0.7	10	9	9	9	9	9		68	8344G72	2	10.1/F	11.6F
18	6	.63	.86	0.7	17.0	17.0	17 @	17.8	17-08	17.00	H.	81	134(5)	1	17,10	22.6F
15	10	12	1.89	0.7	10	9	9	P	9	9	- It	63	8346G74	2	10.1/F	11.6F
15	10	1.2	1.89	0.7	17 a	17.0	17 @	17 @	17-0	17 @	11	81	8344G27	2	17.10	22.6F
14	19	43	4.90	0.7	10	9	9	9	9	- 0	11	63	8344676	3	10.1/F	11.6F
34	19	4.5	4.90	0.7	17 (8	17.0	17 @	178	17-08	17 @	11	81	83440789	3	17.1/F	22.6F
1	19	4.5	4.90	0.7	10	9	9	9	9	9	11	63	8349578	3	10.1/F	11.6F
1	19	4.5	4.90	0.7	17 (3)	17:0	17 @	17 @	17-0	17 @	11	81	8344G31	3	17,1/F	22.6F
DUM. SOL	INCID-0										_	_				
14	6	.69	.86	0.7	17	14	9	9	9	7	11	48	8344644	4	6.1F	10.6F
18	10	12	1.89	0.7	17	14	9	9	9	7	11	48	8344680	6	6.1F	10.6F
18	10	1.2	1.89	0.7	21	21	- 14		-	-	11	-	8344550	7	10.1/F	-
12	10	12	1.89	0.7	17	14	9	P	9	7	11	48	8344632	6	6.1F	10.6F
14	19	4.5	4.90	0.7	21	21	- 54	9	9	7	11	40	8044654	0	10.17	10.6F
1	19	4.5	4.80	0.7	21	21	14	9	9	7	81	48	8344056	8	10.1/F	10.6F

Dimensions: inches (mm)



4.08

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

1 allal lassee,

DATE 12/02/02 **DOCUMENT NAME**

Design Package REV -

Cod (MP1	(MP1) (MP1) (MP1) These mounts can be used both in compression (pub), and tension (pub), Care must be exercised to prevent rod buckling in compression applications with long strokes. NOTE For strokes in excess of 30 inches, see "Stop Tube Selection" on page 45.										
Dimere	sion	1%,*	2" Bore (50.80)	21/2"	314	4" Bore (101.60)	5" Bore (127.00)	6" Bore (152.40)	7" Bore (177.80)	8" Bore (203.20)	
and a	211	5/8" (15.88)	5/8° (15.88)	Bole (63.50) 5/8" [15.88]	Bore (82.55) 1" [25.40]	1" [25.40]	1' [25.40]	1-38" [34.93]	1-38" (34.93)	1-38" (34.93)	
10400	0.5. 19-1	1° (25.40) 750 (19.05)	1° (2540) 760 (1906)	1º [25.40]	1-3/8" [34.98]	1-3/8" [34.98]	1-3/8" [34.93]	1-34" [44.45]	1-34° (44.45)	1-34" (44.45)	
*	0.5.	1.125 (28.58)	1.125 (28.58)	1.125 [28.58]	1.625 [41.28]	1.525 [41.28]	1.625 [41.28]	2.000 [50.80]	2.000 (90.80)	2.000 (90.80)	
s+.000 002	2H 05	1.124 (28.55)	1.124 (28.55) 1.400 (28.09)	1.124 [28.55]	1.409 [38.08] 1.909 [50.78]	1.499 [38.08] 1.999 [50.78]	1.469 [38.08] 1.969 [50.78]	1.960 [50.78] 2.374 [60.31]	1.960 (SO.7B) 2.374 (SO.30)	1.900 (50.7B) 2.374 (91.30)	
-	Shi.	375 (9.53)	375 (9.53)	375 [9.53]	500 [12.70]	500 [12.70]	.500 [12.70]	.625 [15.88]	.625 (15.88)	.625 (15.88)	
	0.5.	.500 (12.70)	500 (12.70)	500 [12:70]	£25 [15.88]	.825 [15.88]	.625 [15.88]	.750 [10.05]	.750 (19.05)	.750 (19.06)	
08	크네	1/2 - 20	1/2 - 20	1/2-20	7/8-14	7/8-14	7/8-14	1-14 - 12	1-1/4 - 12	1-1/4 - 12	
cc	0.5.	7/3 - 14	7/3 - 14	7/B-14	1-14-12	1-14-12	1-14 - 12	1-12 - 12	1-1/2 - 12	1-1/2-12	
ce		500 (12.70)	500 (12.70)	500 [12,70]	750 [19.05]	750 [19.05]	.750 [19.05]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 (25.40)	1.000 (25.40)	
CW	311	500 (1270)	500 (1270)	500 [12.70]	B13 (12.70)	_625 [15.88] 	.625 [15.86] .813 (12.70)	1.125 (15.88)	1.125 (15.88)	1.125 (19.06)	
D	0.5.	.813 (20.64)	.813 (20.64)	.B13 [20.64]	1.125 [28.58]	1.125 [28.58]	1.125 [28.58]	1.500 [38.10]	1.500 (38.10)	1.500 (38.10)	
E		2.000 (50.80)	2.500 (83.50)	3.000 [76.20]	3.750 [95.25]	4500 (114.30)	5.500 [139.70]	6.500 (165.10)	7.500 (190.50)	B500 (215.90)	
E	Std.	.315 (#58) 5/8 - 18	.3rs (953) 58 - 18	5/8-18	1-14	1-14	1-14	1-38 - 12	1-3/8-12	1-3/8-12	
**	0.5.	1-14	1 - 14	1-14	1-38 - 12	1-38 - 12	1-3/B - 12	1-34 - 12	1-314 - 12	1-34-12	
G		1.500 (38.10)	1.500 (38.10)	1.500 [38:10]	1.750 [44.45]	1.750 [44.45]	1.750 [44.45]	2.000 [50.80]	2.000 (50.80)	2.000 (50.80)	
-	31d	7/16-20	7/16 - 20	7/16-20	34-16	34-18	3/4 - 16	1 - 14	1-14	1-14	
KOK.	0.5.	34 - 16	34 - 16	34-16	1-14	1-14	1-14	1-14 - 12	1-1/4 - 12	1-1/4 - 12	
L		.750 (19.06)	.750 (19.05)	.750 [19.05]	1.250 [31.75]	1.250 [31.75]	1.250 [31.75]	1.500 [38.10]	1.500 (38.10)	1.500 (38.10)	
LB		3.625 (12.06)	3.625 (42.08)	3.750 [95.25]	1 290 [107.95]	1,250 [107.06]	1.250 (114.30)	1.500 (127.00)	1.500 (38.10)	5.125 (13U.18) 1.500 (38.10)	
м		500 (12.70)	900 (12.70)	500 [12.70]	.750 [19.05]	750 [19.05]	.750 [19.05]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 (2540)	1.000 (25.40)	
MM	크네	.625 (15.88)	.625 (15.8B)	.625 [15.88]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 [25.40]	1.375 [34.93]	1.375 (34.93)	1.375 (34.93)	
MD	0.5.	1.000 (25.40) 625 (15.88)	1.000 (2540) 825 (1588)	1.000 [25.40]	1.375 [34.08]	1.375 [34.08]	1.375 [34.93]	1.750 [44.45] 1.188 [30.16]	1.750 (44.45) 1.198 (30.16)	1.750 (44.45)	
P		2.313 (58.74)	2.313 (58.74)	2.438 [61.91]	2.525 (56.68)	2.625 (66.68)	2.875 (73.03)	3.125 (10.38)	3.250 (82.55)	3.250 (82.55)	
	211	.625 (15.88)	.625 (15.8B)	.525 [15.88]	B75 [22.23]	B75 [22.23]	.B75 [22.23]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 (25.40)	1.000 (25.40)	
	0.5.	.875 (22.23)	.875 (22.23)	B75 [22.23]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 [25.40]	1.000 [25.40]	1.125 [28.58]	1.125 (28.5B)	1.125 (28.5B)	
w	311	1300 (25.40)	1.000 (2540)	1.000 [25:40]	1.575 [34.08]	1.875 [34.98]	1.625 (41.29)	1.625 [41.28]	1.825 (41.28) 1.875 (47.62)	1.625 (41.28) 1.875 /47.63	
	Std.	5.375 (136.53)	5.375 (138.53)	5.500 (139.70)	6.875 (174.63)	6.875 (174.63)	7.125 (180.98)	B.125 (208.38)	B.250 (209.55)	B.250 (209.55)	
×C	0.5.	5.750 (146.06)	5.750 (148.05)	5.875 [149.23]	7.125 [180.98]	7.125 [180.98]	7.375 [187.33]	B.375 (212.73)	B.500 (215.90)	B500 (215.90)	
٣	크네	1.875 (47.63)	1.875 (47.83)	1.875 [47.63]	2.438 [61.91]	2.438 [61.91]	2.438 [61.91]	2.813 [71.44]	2.813 (71.44)	2813 (71.44)	
	0.5.	z.250 (51.15)	z.250 (51.15)	2,200 [57.16]	2.000 [08.26]	2.000 [08.26]	2.000 [08.26]	2062 [11.19]	2063 (11.19)	2062 (11.19)	

All dimensions in inches (mm)

16

Florida State University Tallahassee, FL

DATE 12/02/02	DOCUMENT NAME	Design Package	REV -

NFPA Rod Eye

-ER Redue



NFPA Eye Bracket



	VP62008A	VP62008B	VP6200CA	VP62010A
ΒA	1.625 (41.28)	2.562 (65.07)	3.250 (B2.55)	3.812 (96.B2)
CB	.750 (19.05)	1.250 [31.75]	1.500 (38.10)	2.000 (50.80)
CD	.500 (12.70)	.750 (19.05)	1.000 (25.40)	1.375 (60.33)
DD	408 (10.31)	.531 (13.49)	.856 (18.66)	.656 [16.66]
E	2.500 (63.50)	3.500 (88.90)	4.500 (114.30)	5.000 (127.00)
F	.375 (9.53)	.625 (15.88)	.750 (19.05)	.875 (22.23)
FL	1.125 (28.58)	1.875 [47.63]	2.250 (57.15)	3.000 (76.20)
LR	.750 (19.05)	1.250 (31.75)	1.500 (38.10)	2.125 (53.98)

Cylinder Force and Volume Charts Extend Forces in pounds (newtons)

			Vol. Cu. Ft. (am ³)					
Bore	In ² (am ²)	40 (3)	60 [4]	80 (6)	100(7)	150 [10]	200 (14)	Per Stroke Inch
1 ¹ /2*	1.77 (11.40)	71 [315]	106 [472]	142 [629]	177 (786)	266 (1179)	353 (1570)	.00102 (29)
2*	3.14 (20.27)	128 [559]	189 [839]	251 (1119)	314 (1398)	471 (2007)	628 (2793)	.00182 (52)
2 ¹ l ₂ *	4.91 (31.67)	196 [874]	295 (1311)	393 (1748)	491 (2185)	737 (3277)	982 (4368)	.002B4 (80)
3144	8.30 (53.32)	332 (1477)	498 (2215)	664 (2953)	B30 (3692)	1245 (5538)	1659 (7379)	.00480 (136)
4"	12.57 (81.07)	503 (2237)	754 (3355)	1005 (4473)	1257 (5592)	1886 (8388)	2513 [11178]	.00727 (206)
5"	19.64(126.71)	785 (3491)	1178 (5240)	1571 (6988)	1964 (8736)	2946 (13104)	3928[17472]	.01137 (322)
6"	28.27(182.39)	1130 (5026)	1696 (7544)	2262 (10061)	2827 (12574)	4240 (18860)	5854[25149]	.01837 (520)
8"	50.26(324.26)	2010 (8940)	3015 (13411)	4020 (17881)	5028 (22356)	7539 (33533)	10052(44711)	.02227 (631)

Deduct these Forces for Retract Strokes

	Defense have		Vol. Cu. Ft. (am ³)					
Bora	In ² (am ²)	40 (3)	60 [4]	80 (6)	100(7)	150 [10]	200 (14)	Per Stroke Inch
5/8*	.307 (1.98)	12 [53]	18 (80)	25 (111)	31 (138)	46 [205]	61 (271)	.00018 [5]
1*	.785 (5.06)	31 (13B)	47 [209]	63 [280]	70 (351)	118 (525)	157 (898)	.00045 [13]
1 ³ /a*	1.485 (9.58)	59 [262]	89 (396)	119 (E29)	118 (525)	222 (997)	297 (1321)	.00086 (24)
1344	2.404 [15.51]	95 [423]	144 (641)	192 [854]	240 (1068)	360 (1601)	480 (2135)	.00139 (39)